TWINNING INTERIM QUARTERLY REPORT NUMBER 5



Reg In		iegation			
	HoD	OPS	CFS	ADM	PEP
ACTION					
NFO					

TWINNING PROJECT INTERIM QUARTERLY REPORT

Project Title: Support to Strengthening the Higher Education System in Azerbaijan

Partners: Centre for Pedagogical Studies of the Republic of France (CIEP)

European Social Fund Agency (ESFA) (Lithuania)

Academic Information Center (AIC) (Latvia)

Ministry of Education of the Republic of Azerbaijan (MoE)

Date: 02 September 2019

READ MAND OF 10 2019

READ MAND OF TO 2019

READ MAND OF THE PROPRIETORIES

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ANO Accreditation and Nostrification Office

AP Action Plan

AzHE Higher Education of Azerbaijan

AzQF National Qualifications Framework of Azerbaijan

BC Beneficiary Administration BC Beneficiary Country BFUG Bologna Follow-Up Group

BP Bologna Process
CA Contracting Authority

CEENQA Network of Central and Eastern European Quality Assurance Agencies in Higher Education

CIMO Centre for International Mobility

DG Directorate General

ECTS European Credit Transfer and Accumulation System

EHEA European Higher Education Area

ENIC European Network of Information Centres on Academic Recognition and Mobility

ENP European Neighbourhood Policy

ENPI European Neighbourhood and Partnership Instrument

ENQA European Association for Quality Assurance in Higher Education EQAR European Quality Assurance Register for Higher Education

EQF European Qualifications Framework

ESG European Standards and Guidelines for Quality Assurance

ESU European Students' Union ETF European Training Foundation

EU European Union

EUA European University Association
EUD European Union Delegation

EUR-ACE European Accreditation of Engineering Programmes

FP7 Seventh Framework Programme

HE Higher Education

HEI Higher Education Institution
HERE Higher Education Reform Experts

INQAAHE International Network for Quality Assurance Agencies in Higher Education

IQA Internal Quality Assurance
JPL Junior Project Leader
LLL Lifelong Learning
MA Master of Arts

MESRI Ministry of Higher Education, Research and Innovation of France

MoE Ministry of Education of the Republic of Azerbaijan

MS Member State

MSP Member State Partner

NAQAAE National Authority for Quality Assurance and Accreditation of Education

NCP National Contact Point

NGO Non-Governmental Organisation
NQF National Qualifications Framework

OECD Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development OSCE Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe

PAO Programme Administration Office

PhD Doctor of Philosophy
PL Project Leader

PSC Project Steering Committee

QA Quality Assurance

QF Qualifications Framework
R&D Research and Development
R&D&I Research, Development and Innovation
RTA Resident Twinning Adviser

SEC State Exam Center of the Republic of Azerbaijan

S&G Standards and Guidelines

STE Short Term Expert

SWOT Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities and Threats TAIEX Technical Assistance and Information Exchange

TNA Training Needs Analysis

ToR	Terms of Reference
TRE	Twinning Review Expert
TRM	Twinning Review Mission
UAS	University of Applied Sciences
VAK	Higher Attestation Commission
VET	Vocational Education and Training

Section 1: Project data

Twinning Contract Number	ENI/2018/395-401
Project Title:	Support to Strengthening the Higher Education System in Azerbaijan
Twinning Partners (MS and BC)	Centre for Pedagogical Studies of the Republic of France (CIEP) European Social Fund Agency (ESFA) (Lithuania) Academic Information Center (AIC) The Ministry of Education of the Republic of Azerbaijan (MoE)
Report Number:	5
Period covered by the report:	12 April – 13 July 2019
Duration of the project:	13 th of April 2018 – 12 th April 2020 (24 months)
Rapporteur:	Mr. Bruno Curvale, MS Project Leader Mr. Shahin Bayramov, BC Project Leader

Signed and approved by Mr. Bruno Curvale, MS Project Leader and Mr. Shahin Bayramov, BC Project Leader.

Mr. Bruno Curvale

Mr. Shahin Bayramov

13/09/2019 Date 21, 09, 2019 Date

Section 2: Content

This section describes the activities of the project. It is divided in five sections,

- 2A BACKGROUND
- 2B ACHIEVEMENT OF MANDATORY RESULTS
- 2C ACTIVITIES IN THE REPORTING PERIOD
- **2D TIMING AND DELAYS**
- 2E ASSESSMENT

2A - BACKGROUND

Overall objectives

Overall objective and indicators of a	chievement
---------------------------------------	------------

To further develop Azerbaijan's higher education system through aligning it with a competence-based education approach.

Indicator of achievement

Positive assessment of the progress made towards the implementation of the Bologna Process (BP).

State of achievement/ problems encountered

Remarks from QP 1:

- The legislative documents in the BC impart already the notions of the Bologna Process principles, and there is a clear politic will to reinforce the collaboration between Azerbaijan and EU countries (for ex., all HEIs met so far consider mobility a priority). In general, focus groups demonstrated good knowledge of the European Higher Education Area (EHEA) basic principles, as well as willingness to shift towards student-centred learning and competence-based higher education. Yet the practical implementation of the competence-based higher education was named to be main issue both by the Ministry and HEIs.
- While the Bologna process is known by all universities encountered during the first missions of the project, there appears sometimes to be a misunderstanding and confusion of what exactly covers the Bologna process and whether it is still a relevant process for higher education reforms (for ex. at some universities, experts were asked if the Bologna process regulates the time for internships and whether it is still an appropriate framework for improvement of higher education as it was created twenty years ago). Further missions should continue to reinforce the overall understanding of the BP.

Remarks from QP 2:

- The project continues to support and to make a greater awareness of the BP among different BC stakeholders. The remarks from the QP1 are relevant for QP2 as well.

Remarks from QP 3:

 Analysis of legislative documents revealed that the Bologna process principles are not enough reflected in local legislative acts in Higher Education. Recommendations were formulated to improve the alignment of local legislative acts with the Bologna process requirements.

Remarks from QP4:

 In almost every project activity, the AzQF was presented and promoted, with specific focus on level descriptors for levels 6-8.
 Relevant resources, produced within the framework of the Bologna process regarding competence-based approach and studentcentered learning were used for training materials during workshops and other meetings.

Remarks from QP5:

Remarks from QP1 – QP4 are relevant for QP5.

Project purpose

Project purpose and indicators of achievement

To increase the institutional capacities of the Ministry of Education, higher education institutions and the Accreditation and Nostrification Office for the continued introduction of the principles, mechanisms, tools and policies of the European Higher Education Area (EHEA) and to ensure their consistent implementation and application supporting the shift towards a student-centred system.

State of achievement/ problems encountered

of Azerbaijan to draft proposals regarding the establishment of single electronic database in order to improve the rules for awarding scientific degrees and scientific titles, to improve management process in the field of assessment and monitoring of research activities, to ensure availability of a database on scientific research and ensure an open access to information concerning the field of science as well as to improve the quality of relevant statistic and analytical reports and submit the proposals to the President of the Republic of Azerbaijan. The Decree also instructs the Higher Attestation Commission to draft proposals on improving the work of this Commission. The decree stipulates that it aims to improve the attestation process of scientific and scientific-pedagogical workers in Azerbaijan and improve the quality of a scientific research in Azerbaijan given the challenges that exist on the global level.

- 4. Mr. Idris Isayev was appointed a Deputy to the Minister of Education of Azerbaijan on 16 November 2018. Previously, he served as a Deputy to the Minister of Labour and Social Protection of Azerbaijan.
- 5. On 17 January 2019 President instructed the Cabinet of Ministers to abolish the Accreditation and Nostrification Department and transfer its functions to the Ministry of Education.

Quarterly period 4:

- 1. The Cabinet of Ministers approved the "Classification of Specialties for Bachelor Level" on 12 February 2019. The new classification will take force starting from the new academic year, in other words, from 15 September 2019.
- 2. The President signed a decree "On allocation of scholarship to doctoral students, to students studying in higher, secondary professional and vocational education institutions as well as those studying master courses in the Azerbaijan National Academy of Sciences" on 14 February, 2019. This decree will be applied to about 110,000 students across the country. Under this new decree, the scholarships for the students studying in above-mentioned levels were increased up to 25%.
- 3. Elchin Babayev was appointed new BSU rector on March 11, 2019 at the presidential decree. Previously, he was Executive Director of Science Development Fund at the Presidential Administration of Azerbaijan.

Quarterly period 5:

- 1. On 20 May 2019, the Cabinet of Ministers approved the Rules on "On admission of bachelor degree holders into secondary professional education institutions, admission of sub-bachelor degree holders into bachelor studies of higher education institutions and on transfer of people who have not completed their bachelor degrees into secondary professional education institutions." According to these rules, bachelor degree holders with high average performance indicators will be able to get admitted into secondary professional education institutions without taking a centralized exam and accordingly, sub-bachelor degree holders with high average performance indicators will be able to get admitted into higher education institutions (only into programs which are relevant to the programme they studied in sub-bachelor degree) by bypassin the centralized exam. These Rules also allow those who have not finished their bachelor studies to get transferred into secondary professional education institutions within 15 years after the completion of the fixed duration of studies.
- 2. On 10 May 2019, the Cabinet of Ministers approved "Classification of spacialties (specializations) for master level of higher education."
- 3. On 4 July 2019, a Presidential decree was signed on creation of the National Observatory for Labour Market and Social Protection of Population in Azerbiadjan.

Project Assumptions

Project Assumptions	Assessment of implementation	

Indicator of achievement

- MoE, higher education institutions and Accreditation and Nostrification Office have been trained on principles, mechanisms, tools and policies of the European Higher Education Area on student - centred concept;
- Processes and methodologies for streamlining competence-based education provision in line with the EU best practices adapted to BC context developed and piloted;
- The improved capacity of the Accreditation and Nostrification Office results in a compliance with the ESG.
- In total, since the project start, 3 trainings, 88 workshops, 32 interviews and 1 kick-off event, involving 1134 participants from the BC, were carried out to train relevant stakeholders on various aspects of European Higher Education Area regarding competence-based approach (CBA), student-cantered learning (SCL) and other relevant areas. Most of the pilot HEIs seem still quite far from SCL/CBA approach, as it appears to be far from their priorities. The training process and awareness raising on the SCL/CBA importance takes time. Little commitment at institutional level does not support a lot in this regard. (A total of 173 participants, mainly from HEIs, participated in workshops)
- 3 Methodologies, 1 set of recommendations for methodology, 1 methodological compendium for streamlining competence-based education provision in line with the EU best practices and 1 concept were drafted by MS experts; they will be tested in further project activities. They are: a) 2 methodologies for competence-based education standards called 'Concept and methodology for competence-based education standards' (drafted as outcome of Act. 1.1); b) 1 methodology on how to transform labour market data into programme specific education requirements (drafted as outcomes of Act. 1.4); c) 1 set of recommendations for methodology of developing national classifications of programmes in higher education; d) 1 methodological compendium on how to write learning outcomes was elaborated (as output of Act. 2.2); 1 concept of student-centered study programme (as output of
- The ANO was supported by MS experts to revise their current procedures and methodologies to follow EU practices. 1 handbook for methodologies for study programmes evaluations was drafted. No obstacles were met in this regard.
- Policy Developments since the project start

Quarterly period 2:

The National Qualifications Framework for Lifelong Learning of the Republic of Azerbaijan (AzNQF) was finally approved by the Cabinet of Minister of Azerbaijan with minor amendments on 18th July 2018.

Quarterly period 3:

- 1. The State Program on Increasing the International Competitiveness of the Higher Education System in the Republic of Azerbaijan for 2019-2023 was adopted by the President of
- 2. The Decree on Amendments to the "Law on Education" of Azerbaijan adopted by the President of Azerbaijan on Dec. 10, 2018 brought changes regarding the time of university rectors being in office: "The rectors for the state-owned higher education institutions are appointed for 5year term"; Previously, the rectors were appointed for an indefinite period in office.
- 3. The Decree on Conduct of Additional Measures to Improve the Attestation Process of Scientific and Scientific-Pedagogical Workers in Azerbaijan dated of Nov. 28, 2018. The decree instructs the Higher Attestation Commission, Education Ministry and Academy of Sciences

- reforming curricula;
- The MoE and the higher education institutions deploy adequate human resources;
- The legal decision-making process will be undertaken in a timely manner.
- learning outcomes at the national level, and universities shall make sure to provide their study programme aligned with those.
- The legal decision-making process is not always mastered by the project team. However, it does not impede the implementation of project activities. The project coordination tries to adapt the agenda of missions/ project activities to the legal decision-making agenda.

Project Purpose

- The Government maintains its political will to modernise its higher education system;
- Universities are willing to cooperate;
- MS partners adapt to local context and constraints.
- Although the government maintains in general its political will to modernise the higher education system, more support at the national and institutional levels shall be granted to some important measures, like providing access to continuous education for all academic staff, encourage upgrading of training equipment and learning/teaching materials, encourage recruitment of younger academic staff, etc.
- Universities are willing to cooperate, but they appear to need further capacity reinforcement on how to transform their financial, administrative and pedagogical autonomy into better quality of educational services provision;
- MS partners try to adapt to local context and constraints; however, the practical focus of some workshops could further be improved.

Component 1:

- The Government maintains its political will to modernise its higher education system;
- The MoE and the Ministry of Labour cooperate effectively in relation to the provision of data that informs about labour market needs and requirements;
- The MoE deploys adequate human resources.
- The political will of the government is there; a series of measures are currently being put in place to enhance a better monitoring of the labour market needs (i.e. establishment of skills observatories at the Ministry of Labour);
- The MoE considers that it is outside of their work scope to deal with labour market issues. Cooperation with the Ministry of Labour ans Social Protection of Population appears to be beyond the objectives of the current project.
- Despite a heavy workload, the MoE tries to support the project activities where necessary.

Component 2:

- The Government maintains its political will to modernise its higher education system;
- The MoE and Higher Education Institutions remain committed to reforming curricula;
- The MoE and the higher education institutions deploy adequate human resources.
- The Government maintains its political will to modernise its higher education system. CBA and SCL are mentioned as priority in the national strategy and as a member of the Bologna process, the BC government is committed to implement relevant Bologna process requirements.
- Meetings with academic staff regarding the new state standards revealed that academic staff is more interested in discussing the list of subjects rather than the list of competences/learning outcomes. It shows that most of the academic staff still tends to implement a subject-based approach rather than competence-based approach (although some universities, i.e. the Azerbaijan University of Oil and Industry, have started new pilot practices). The project workshops and other activities aims to support the shift from subject-based teacher-oriented approach towards competence-based student-centred one;
- Despite heavy workload at the level of MoE and HEIs, both strive to support project activities at their best.

Component 3:

- The Government maintains its political will to modernise its higher education system;
- The Accreditation and Nostrification Office and higher education institutions cooperate effectively;
- The Accreditation and Nostrification Office and higher education institutions deploy adequate human resources.

Component 4:

- The Government maintains its political will to modernise its higher education system;
- The MoE and Higher Education Institutions remain committed to

- The Government maintains its political will to modernise its higher education system. Although the status of ANO has been changed recently by a presidential decree (it became again a part of MoE), it does not seem to bring important changes in the way of functioning of ANO and its scope of work:
- The ANO cooperates effectively with the project, the same do universities. The interest of academic staff appears sometimes quite weak to some workshops, because the topics of competence-based approach and student-centred learning are not for the moment at the core of institutional strategies of universities.
- The assumptions are in general implemented. As regards the commitment to reform curricula, there is a strong one at the level of MoE. Given the local context, it appears more relevant to keep the current level of pedagogical autonomy of universities (without enlarging it): the state standards shall prescribe the list of subjects and the intended competences /

- approach towards competence-based one were noticed.
- Make sure that project deliverables are sustainable: It is important to make sure that the methodologies produced under R.1.1 and 1.3 are used in further project activities.
- Revise national curricula in key sectors: More expertise is needed on the result 1.2; a reallocation from other activities would be necessary
- ✓ <u>Translation of important documents</u> would need to be discussed with relevant working groups as some concepts appear to be difficult for translation.

Result 2. Pilot Study programmes in priority areas of education are improved to be more student-centred

- 2.1. A concept of student-centred study programme is developed;
- 2.2. At least 12 study programmes are revised;
- 2.3. A methodological compendium on the use of learning outcomes is developed;
- 2.4. A training workshop on student-centred approaches for teaching. learning and assessment is organised.
- 2.5. Programme regulations on recognition developed.

- R. 2.1. Achieved: a concept of student-centered study programme was developed. (A total of 105 HEI representatives participated in the workshops.)
- R. 2.2 In progress: learning outcomes for the programme of Physics were defined, but not yet discussed with academic staff. (A total of 68 HEI representatives attended the workshops.)
- R. 2.3 Achieved: a draft of methodological compendium on the use of learning outcomes was developed. It will be further discussed with stakeholders during later project activities so to achieve its appropriation and any additional improvements if necessary
- R. 2.4 and 2.5 were not performed yet.

Problems encountered:

- Weak interest of most academic staff for CBA/SCL;
- Training materials of MS experts shall be more practically oriented.

Result 3 The quality assurance system is further developed to reflect the student-centeredness of study programmes

- 3.1. Standards for programme accreditation are improved:
- 3.2. A handbook on self-evaluation processes of HEI is developed;
- 3.3. A training workshop for higher education institutions on self-evaluation is conducted:
- 3.4. At least 12 self-evaluation reports (SER) for the pilot study programmes are compiled;
- 3.5. At least 4 mock accreditations of study programmes are conducted;
- 3.6. A pool of reviewers, including student representatives and representatives of the labour market is trained;
- 3.7. A study visit of up to 7 MoE members is organised in an EU Member State on Quality Assurance in the EHEA;
- 3.8. A study visit of up to 7 MoE members is organised in an EU Member State on student-centeredness of study programmes.

- R. 3.1 Achieved: Standards for programme accreditation were drafted in line with ESGs QA.
- R. 3.2 Achieved with increased deliverables: A more complete version of handbook for evaluation of study programmes (including both self-evaluation and external evaluation processes) was designed.
- R.3.3 Achieved. A draft handbook for external evaluators was created.
- R 3.4 Achieved. <u>Trainings on how to write self-evaluation report were delivered</u>. (A total of 139 HEI representatives attended the trainings.)
- R 3.5- Achieved. Separate meetings were held with each of the self-evaluation groups from HEIs for further improvement of SER reports.
- R 3.7 Achieved. A study visit of 8 BC staff (from MoE, ANO and pilot universities) took place in Latvia and Lithuania.

3.6 and 3.8 were not performed yet.

Problems encountered:

Self-evaluation approach appears quite unknown by some members of HEI's academic staff. Some of them saw few interest in such kind of exercise

2B - ACHIEVEMENT OF MANDATORY RESULTS

Results under components

Results and indicators of achievement State of achievement/ problems encountered Result 1 Selected National Education Standards are aligned to include a competence-based focus 1.1. A concept and methodology for R1.1 - Achieved: A concept and methodology for transforming education standards to be transforming education standards to be competence-based is improved; competence-based has been drafted. It will be further discussed with stakeholders during later project activities so to achieve its appropriation and any additional improvements if necessary; (A total 1.2. National education standards for of seven MoE staff members participated in the programmes in three sectors are updated; workshop.) R. 1.2 - Partly achieved: The list of competences for 12 pilot study programmes was produced; 1.3. A methodology for transforming labour however, this list needs to be discussed with market intelligence into programme specific relevant academic staff including the discussion on education requirements is developed; the list of subjects compulsory for each programme. (Three MoE staff participated in the workshop) R. 1.3 - Achieved: A methodology for transforming 1.4. National classifications for programmes in labour market intelligence into programme specific three sectors are developed; education requirements has been developed. It will 1.5. Visibility materials are developed that be further discussed with stakeholders during later informs about the benefits of competenceproject activities so to achieve its appropriation and based education; any additional improvements if necessary; (A total 1.6. A study visit for 2 representatives from of 16 MoE staff members participated in the Higher Education Department, 1 workshop.) representative from the Accreditation and Nostrification Office and 1 representative from R. 1.4 - Partly achieved, it is suggested to the Education Institute of the Ministry of decrease the workload initially planned for this Education and 3 representatives (7 people in activity because of recent legislative changes. A total) from pilot universities is organised in an mission on revision of methodology for EU Member State on competence based classification of specialities was conducted and education system. recommendations discussed with the MoE staff. Another mission looked at the classification of specialties in the field of Physics; no specific remarks were provided to improve the current classification of specialties in this field. Given the fact that the national classification of specialties is currently under revision process (a new classification of Bachelor's programmes was recently adopted and the one for Master's programmes as well), it is suggested to reallocate working days left out from this activity to the R. 1.2. (A total of 112 HEI representatives participated in the workshops.) R. 1.5 were not performed yet; - R. 1.6 - Achieved: A study visit of 7 MoE members to Lithuania and Latvia on the topic of competencebased approach was organised. The feedback report was produced.

Problems encountered:

Getting acquainted stakeholders with new notions: For some target groups, composed of academic staff from universities, the notion of "competence" is a new one and some difficulties in understanding

it and how to move from discipline-oriented

2C. ACTIVITIES IN THE REPORTING PERIOD

A total of 16 expert missions, with a total 80 STE working days were implemented during the reporting period.

The main task of the reporting period was to:

- continue revision of national standards for study programmes in selected areas;
- provide recommendations for the amendment of legal regulations for recognition at institutional level in line with European best practices to allow for recognition of study programmes based on learning outcomes:
- following the capacity building activities for the Ministry of Education (including Accreditation and Nostrification Office) and other stakeholders regarding programme accreditation, holding meetings with academic staff from pilot universities to support them in writing self-evaluation reports;
- holding workshops to raise awareness and share best practices of student-centered approach in pilot universities;
- provide recommendations for the amendment of legal regulations in line with European best practices.

Several capacity reinforcement meetings were carried out for the staff of MoE and HEIs during this quarterly period. Summaries of meetings, interviews and workshops carried out during short-term missions are presented below. Recommendations from missions are provided in the annexes.

Component 1 Selected National Education Standards are aligned to include a competence-based focus

Reference number and title of Activity

Activity 1.6 Provide recommendations for improvement of methodology for developing national classifications of specialities in higher education

Name of MS expert who delivered it:

Mr. Gintautas Jakstas (LT)

Duration of the activity:

15 - 19 April 2019 (5/10 working days)

SCHEDULE OF THE MISSION:

15 April 2019

- The STE reviews the national classification of study programmes for bachelor level in Azerbaijani higher education.
- A meeting with HE Department to discuss the classification of study programmes for master level which is planned to be developed.

16 April 2019

Workshop on best practices of developing classification of study programmes for bachelor and master level in Lithuania.

17 April 2019

Deskwork. The STE drafts the guidelines for developing national classification of study programmes for master level.

18 April 2019

Meeting with Mr. Azad Akhundov, Chief Advisor at HE Department to discuss the current classification of study programmes in Azerbaijani higher education system.

19 April 2019

Workshop for the staff of the HE Department to present recommendations for improvement of methodology for developing national classifications of programmes in higher education.

Stakeholders: Mr. Shahin Bayramov, Deputy Head of HE Department, BC Project Leader; Mr. Yashar Omarov, Head of HE Unit at HE Department, RTA Counterpart; Mr. Azad Akhundov, Chief Advisor at HE Department, Component Leader II; Ms. Nargiz Garakhanova, Senior Adviser at HE Department, Component Leader IV; Ms. Vusala Gurbanova, Senior Adviser at HE Department, Component Leader I; Mr. Samir Hamidov, Leading Advisor at HE Department.

Objectives and tasks of the Mission:

The objective of this activity was to provide recommendations for improvement of methodology for national classifications of programmes.

Recommendations and results:

The expert provided recommendations for the improvement of methodology for national classification of programmes. A workshop for the staff of the Science, Higher and Secondary Professional Education Department, as well relevant people from other departments of the Ministry of Education was delivered to share European and Lithuanian experience in designing the classification of study programmes for bachelor and master levels. For more details, see the Mission Report of Activity 1.6 in the Annex.

- and they believe that nothing will change in terms of future improvements. MS experts searched to convince local academic staff on the usefulness of self-evaluation practices.
- Skills of SER writing among academic staff are weak. Despite several workshops, the quality of some SERs could still be improved.

Result 4. Recommendations on amendments of legislative and regulative framework developed

- 4.1. A synopsis report on the legal and regulatory system for education standards, credit system. Recognition and quality assurance of study programmes is compiled;
- 4.2. A proposal for the amendment of legal regulations is prepared to ensure that the credit system is based on the concept of learning outcomes and student workload;
- 4.3. Regulations for recognition at institutional level are amended in line with European best practices to allow for recognition of parts of studies based on learning outcomes;
- 4.4. A proposal for the amendment of the legal and regulatory framework for quality assurance is prepared;
- 4.5. A proposal to enhance the governance system of three pilot universities is developed and tested.

- R. 4.1 Achieved. A synopsis report on the legal and regulatory system for education standards, credit system, recognition and quality assurance of study programmes has been developed.
- R.4.2 and R. 4.3 Achieved. A proposal for the amendment of legal regulations for recognition at institutional level in line with European best practices to allow for recognition of study programmes based on learning outcomes. (A total of 95 HEI representatives attended the workshops organized as part of the Activity 4.3.)
- Results 4.4 4.5 were not performed yet.

Problems encountered:

A greater integration into European network of ENIC-NARIC Centres of the Azeri department for Nostrification would help to promote a shift toward current practices of recognition instead of nostrification approach.

SCHEDULE OF THE MISSION:

24 June 2019

Debriefing with RTA. Preparation for the workshops at pilot HEIs.

25 June 2019

A workshop on student-centered learning for the staff from Baku State University (BSU) and Sumgait State University (SSU) in the premises of BSU and for the staff from Baku Engineering University (BEU) and Azerbaijan State Oil and Industry University (ASOIU) in premises of ASOIU.

Stakeholders: See the Annex to the Mission Report of Activity 2.1.

26 June 2019

The STEs carry out a deskwork in hotel due to public holiday (Armed Forces Day) in Azerbaijan 27 June 2019

Workshops on student-centered learning for the staff of Azerbaijan State Pedagogical University (ASPU) and Azerbaijan University of Languages (AUL) in ASPU premises and for the staff of Azerbaijan Technical University (AzTU) at AzTU premises.

Stakeholders: See the Annex to the Mission Report of Activity 2.1.

28 June 2019

 Workshop on student-centered learning for the staff of Khazar University at the same university's premise.

Stakeholders: See the Annex to the Mission Report of Activity 2.1.

- Report writing

Objectives and tasks of the Mission:

- To deliver workshops on EU best practices in student-centred learning:
- To do mapping of the best practice examples (case-studies) of implementing student-centred approach in Azerbaijan;
- To develop a concept of student-centered study programme curricula for Azerbaijan;
- Recommendations for the development and review of a student-centered study programme.

Recommendations and results:

The practices in the field of student-centred approach in higher education institutions of relevant MS countries were shared. Relevant practices in local higher education institutions were studied. A concept of a student-centered study programme was developed. A mapping of the best practice examples (case-studies) of implementing student-centred approach in Azerbaijan was done. For more details, see the Mission Report of the Activity 2.1 in the Annex.

Component 2. Pilot Study Programme Curricula in Priority Sectors are Improved to be More Student-Centred

Reference number and title of Activity

Activity 2.4.2 Improve study programmes in the priority areas in pilot universities to incorporate learning outcomes and inform and raise awareness about these achievements

Name of MS experts who delivered it:

Mr. Eckhart Hoetzel (FR), Ms. Tatjana Koke (LV)

Duration of the Activity

20 - 24 May 2019 (10/30 working days)

Component 1 Selected National Education Standards are aligned to include a competence-based

Reference number and title of Activity

Activity 1.7 Improve national classifications of specialities in the priority areas

Name of MS experts who delivered it:

Philippe Turek (FR)

Duration of the activity:

10 June -14 June 2019 (5/15 working days)

SCHEDULE OF THE MISSION:

10 June 2019

Deskwork at MoE. Discussions with RTA Ms. Lisa Bydanova.

A meeting with Working Group in MoE premises in order to finalize the suggested version of study programme in Physics.

12 June 2019

Deskwork at MoE

13 June 2019

Deskwork at MoE

14 June 2019

- Deskwork in MoE. Discussions with RTA Ms. Lisa Bydanova
- Report writing

Objectives and tasks of the Mission:

The objective of the mission was to discuss the suggested version of the state study programme in Physics with the academic staff (Working Group formulated by the project) from the relevant universities and finalize the document based on their suggestions and remarks.

Recommendations and results:

The suggested version of Physics study programme was finalized and recommendations for the further improvement were provided. The national classification of specialties in the field of Physics was also reviewed. For more details, see the Mission Report of the Activity 1.7 in the Annex.

Component 2. Pilot Study Programme Curricula in Priority Sectors are Improved to be More Student-Centred

Reference number and title of Activity

Activity 2.1 Develop and discuss concept of student-centered study programme, which includes the basic parameters for the development and review of study programme at institutional level

Name of MS experts who delivered it:

Mr. Mourad Attarça (FR), Ms. Ilona Kazlauskaitė (LT), Ms. Ilva Grigoryeva (LV)

Duration of the Activity

24 - 28 June 2019 (15/30 working days)

new version of it

Recommendations and results:

Recommendations for a new version of the study programme in Computer Sciences were developed following the meeting with academic staff representing the higher education institutions which offer programmes in Computer Science, Institute of Control Systems of Azerbaijani National Academy of Sciences (ANAS) as well as leading communications companies of the country. For more details, see the Annex to the Mission Report of the Activity 2.4.3.

Component 3. The quality assurance system is further developed to reflect the student-centeredness of study programmes

Reference number and title of Activity

Activity 3.4 Hold a training workshop for higher education institutions on how to conduct self-evaluation processes

Names of MS expert(s) who delivered it

Ms. Jolita Butkiene (LT), Nora Skaburskiene (LT)

Duration of the activity

29 April - 03 May 2019 (10/10 STE Working Days)

MISSION SCHEDULE

29 April 2019

A meeting at the Accreditation and Nostrification Office to prepare for the workshops to be held at pilot HEIs. Reviewing the self-evaluation reports submitted by pilot HEIs.

30 April 2019

A round table with members of self-evaluation groups involved in writing SER on chemical engineering (ASOIU, SSU, BEU, BSU) and computer engineering (ASOIU, BEU, AzTU, SSU) study programmes in Azerbaijan Oil and Industry University.

Stakeholders: See the Annex to the Mission Report of Activity 3.4.2.

1 May 2019

A round table with members of self-evaluation groups involved in writing SER on Physics study programme (BSU, SSU) and Computer Sciences study programme (BSU, ASOIU) in Baku State University.

Stakeholders: See the Annex to the Mission Report of Activity 3.4.2.

2 May 2019

A round table with members of self-evaluation groups involved in writing SER on Foreign Language Teacher study programme (AUL, Khazar University, SSU, ASPU) and Math and Informatics study programme (BSU, ASPU, BEU, SSU) in Azerbaijan State Pedagogical University.

Stakeholders: See the Annex to the Mission Report of Activity 3.4.2.

3 May 2019

 Final discussions with Vice-rectors from each of the pilot universities and wrap up of the relevant issues for the next period of SER writing in Accreditation and Nostrification Office.

Stakeholders: See the Annex to the Mission Report of Activity 3.4.2.

- Report writing

Objectives and tasks of the Mission:

The objective of the mission was to support the staff of the pilot universities involved in writing the self-evaluation reports on selected programmes and give them recomendations on how to improve the initial drafts of SER submitted by pilot HEIs.

Recommendations and results: Round tables with the members of the groups involved in writing self-evaluation reports of selected study programmes were held and the SER groups were advised on how to improve the initial drafts of the reports in line with the European Standards and Guidelines for Quality Assurance in the European Higher Education Area. For more details, see the Mission Report of the Activity 3.4.2 in the Annex.

Component 3. The quality assurance system is further developed to reflect the student-centeredness of study programmes

Reference number and title of Activity

Activity 3.5 Support for self-evaluation report writing

Names of MS expert(s) who delivered it

Ms. Jolita Butkiene (LT), Nora Skaburskiene (LT), Ms. Jolanta Silka (LV)

Duration of the activity

17 - 21 June 2019 (15/30 STE Working Days)

SCHEDULE OF THE MISSION:

20 May 2019

Deskwork. Team work of experts on the learning outcomes for the study programme of Foreign Language Teacher.

21 May 2019

A meeting with employers to discuss the suggested list of competences in the new suggested version of the Foreign Language Teacher study programme.

Stakeholders: See the Annex II to Mission Report of Activity 2.4.2.

22 May 2019

A meeting with heads of chairs related to the Foreign Language Teacher study programme from pilot universities to discuss learning outcomes, competences, disciplines in the suggested version of the study programme.

Stakeholders: See the Annex II to Mission Report of Activity 2.4.2.

23 May 2019

Deskwork.

24 May 2019

Debrief about the results of the mission with staff of the Science, Higher and Secondary Professional Education Department of the Ministry of Education.

Stakeholders: Mr. Azad Akhundov, Chief Advisor at HE Department, CL II; Ms. Nargiz Garakhanova, Senior Advisor at HE Department, CL IV.

Report writing

Objectives and tasks of the Mission:

- to revise the current study programme in Foreign Language Teacher and draft a new version of the study programme.

Recommendations and results:

A new version of the study programme in Foreign Language teacher was developed and discussed with representatives of the Higher Education Department of the Ministry of Education. For more details, see the Annexes to the Mission Report of the Activity 2.4.2.

Component 2. Pilot Study Programme Curricula in Priority Sectors are Improved to be More Student-Centred

Reference number and title of Activity

Activity 2.4.3 Improve study programmes in the priority areas in pilot universities to incorporate learning outcomes and inform and raise awareness about these achievements

Name of MS expert who delivered it:

Mr. Pierre Collet (FR)

Duration of the Activity

3 - 7 June 2019 (5/20 working days)

SCHEDULE OF THE MISSION:

3 June 2019

- Debrief with RTA and Component Leader II.

- Meeting with the members of the Working Group for IT specialties and employers in MoE.

Stakeholders: See the Annex to the Mission Report of Activity 2.4.3.

4 June 2019

Deskwork in the Ministry of Education.

5 June 2019

Deskwork. Revising the state study programmes in Computer Sciences.

6 June 2019

Deskwork. Revising the state study programmes in Computer Sciences

7 June 2019

Debriefing with the Higher Education Department about results of the mission.

Objectives and tasks of the Mission:

The objective of the mission was to revise the current study programme in Computer Sciences and draft a

MISSION SCHEDULE

For the mission schedule, see the Agenda of the Activity 3.8 Organize study visit on best practice for external quality assurance in the European Higher attached as an Annex to the Interim Quarterly Report 5.

Objectives and tasks of the Mission:

The objective of the study visit was to introduce the BC representatives into best practices of external quality assurance in the European Higher Education Area

Results: For more details, see the joint report of the Activity 3.8 in the Annex.

Component 4 Recommendations on amendments of legislative and regulative framework developed Reference number and title of Activity

Activity 4.3.1 Review the legal and regulatory framework for recognition and, if required, amend in accordance with European best practices

Names of MS experts who delivered it

Mr. Jean-Luc Lamboley (FR); Ms. Kristina Sutkute (LT)

Duration of the activity

13 - 17 May 2019 (10/20 working days)

MISSION SCHEDULE

13 May 2019

- Meeting with Ms. Nargiz Garakhanova, Component II Leader to discuss current practices related to recognition of periods of study.
- Deskwork. The STEs review the legal framework on recognition of ECTS.

14 May 2019

Workshops in Baku State University and Azerbaijan Technical University on how to do recognition based on learning outcomes, and to write learning outcomes for subjects.

The workshop was covered by the website of the Sumgait State University with the following article:

http://www.aztu.edu.az/azp/#/azp/etc/newsView.do?deptid=0&articleid=20190514171723745629&keyword=&searchtype=

15 May 2019

- Workshop in Azerbaijan State Pedagogical University on how to do recognition based on learning outcomes, and to write learning outcomes for subjects.
- Meeting at Accreditation and Nostrification Office.

16 May 2019

A workshop in Sumgait State University on how to do recognition based on learning outcomes, and to write learning outcomes for subjects.

The workshop was covered by the website of the Sumgait State University with the following article:

https://sdu.edu.az/az/news/1174

17 May 2019

- Review of the rules on organizing the Credit System education (cf. annexe II)
- Debrief with HE Department about the results of the mission
- Report writing

Objectives and tasks of the Mission:

- To introduce European best practices in recognition based on learning outcomes
- To ensure that the Higher Education Institutions are familiar with the learning outcomes approach.
- To submit recommendations to the Higher Education Institutions to comply with these European practices

Recommendations and results: For more details, see the Mission Report of the Activity 4.3 in the Annex.

Component 4.3.2 Recommendations on amendments of legislative and regulative framework developed

Reference number and title of Activity

Activity 4.3 Review the legal and regulatory framework regarding the rules for Doctoral studies, and, if required, amend in accordance with European best practices

Names of MS experts who delivered it

Mr. Leonas Balaševičius (LT)

Duration of the activity

24 - 28 June 2019 (5/10 working days)

MISSION SCHEDULE

24 June 2019

MISSION SCHEDULE

17 June 2019

- A deskwork in hotel due to public holiday (National Salvation Day).
- Reviewing the self-evaluation reports written by pilot HEIs.

18 June 2019

Parallel sessions at different hours throughout the day with Foreign Language Teacher SER Group from Azerbaijan University of Languages, Chemical Engineering SER Group from Azerbaijan State Oil and Industry University, Math teacher SER Group from Baku State University, Math&Informatics Teacher SER Group from Baku Engineering University, Computer Science SER Group from Baku State University, Computer Engineering SER Group from Azerbaijan State Oil and Industry University, Informatics teacher SER Group from Baku State University, Computer engineering SER Group from Baku Engineering University and Foreign Language Teacher SER Group from Khazar University in Azerbaijan State Pedagogical University.

19 June 2019

Parallel sessions at different hours throughout the day with Computer engineering SER Group from Sumgait State University, Chemical Engineering SER Group from Baku State University, Math&Informatics Teacher SER Group from Sumgait State University, Foreign Language Teacher SER Group from Sumgait State University, Informatics Teacher SER Group from Azerbaijan State Pedagogical University, Computer Science SER Group from Azerbaijan Technical University, Chemical engineering SER Group from Sumgait State University, Physics SER Group from Baku State University and Computer Science SER Group from Azerbaijan State Oil and Industry University in Azerbaijan State Pedagogical University.

20 June 2019

- Parallel sessions at different hours throughout the day with Math Teacher SER Group from
 Azerbaijan State Pedagogical University, Physics SER Group from Sumgait State University,
 Physics Teacher SER Group from Azerbaijan State Pedagogical University, Chemical
 Engineering SER Group from Baku Engineering University, Computer Engineering SER Group
 from Azerbaijan Technical University and Foreign Language Teacher SER Group from Azerbaijan
 State Pedagogical University in Azerbaijan State Pedagogical University
- Report writing

June 2019

- Debriefing session in Accreditation and Nostrification Office
- Report writing

Objectives and tasks of the Mission:

The objective of the mission was to support the pilot higher education institutions at the next stage of writing self-evaluation reports for the selected study programmes.

Recommendations and results:

Separate meeting with each of the self-evaluation groups engaged in self-evaluation process were held and recommendations for further improvement of the second drafts of the reports were provided. For more details, see the Mission Report of the Activity 3.5 in the Annex.

Component 3. The quality assurance system is further developed to reflect the student-centeredness of study programmes

Reference number and title of Activity

Activity 3.8 Organize study visit on best practice for external quality assurance in the European Higher Education Area for up to 7 staff members of the Beneficiary

Names of BC representatives who participated: Mr Samir Hamidov, Leading Advisor of Science, Higher and Secondary Professional Education Department of the Ministry of Education; Mr Tofig Ahmadov, Head of Accreditation Department of Accreditation Office of the Ministry of Education; Ms Lala Abasova, Senior Specialist of Accreditation Department of Accreditation and Nostrification Office of the Ministry of Education; Mr Farid Aghayev, Head of Management and Systems Engineering Chair of Azerbaijan State Oil and Industry University; Mr Nizami Yusubov, Head of Machine Engineering Technologies Chair of Azerbaijan Technical University; Ms Leyla Aliyeva, Head of Methodological Provision and Accreditation Department, Azerbaijan State Pedagogical University; Mr Yagub Garibov, Head of Physical Geography Chair, Geography Faculty, Baku State University; Mr Samir Guliyev, Head of International Relations Chair, Baku Engineering University; Mr Tarlan Arzumanov, RTA Language Assistant.

Duration of the activity

27 – 31 May 2019 Expert Days Sum: n/a

AOTHIES	PLAN	+	-	-			
TOTAL NUMBER OF STE DAYS	Planned	QP 1	QP 2	QP 3	QR4	QR5	
GENERAL ACTIVITIES	A SECOND	I TELEST		the state of	1 100000	A STATE OF	
Kick-off Meeting	3		3		A DESCRIPTION AND A SECOND		3
Canadan Committee Mantines		6	6		0		
Steering Committee Meetings Closure Meeting	3	0	6	6	6	6	30
D Section State of the State of	3			PER IN			A Committee of the Comm
COMPONENT 1:							
1.1 Improvement of concept and methodology for competence-based education standards	30	18	10				28
1.2 Identify three priority areas for the improvement of competence-based education standards on the basis of economic and social relevance, which includes an assessment of the contribution of sectors to the GDP and an analysis of the employability of graduate	20	5	:				5
1.3 Assess and review the current system for using labour market data and skills forecasting within the Ministry of Education and other related bodies	20	10	10				20
1.4 Develop methodology to transform labour market data into programme specific education requirements	15		15				15
1.5 Provide recommendations for improvement of education standards for qualification for programmes in the priority areas (incl. legislative arrangements) with a view to describing achievements based on competences and skills, considering the AzQF	30		10	10	15		35 (10 extra WD were allocated from Act. 1.2 through SL 2 as the BC asked for the additional review of subjects in pilot SSSP)
1.6 Provide recommendations for improvement of methodology for developing national classifications of specialities in higher education	15					5	5
1.7 Improve national classifications of specialities in the priority areas	15					5	5
1.8 Awareness raising on the benefits of competence-based education to support the implementation of student-centred curricula as part of the educational reforms	15						-
1.9 Organise study visit on best practise for competence based education system	Study visit						-
COMPONENT 2:							
2.1 Develop and discuss concept of student-centred study programme, which includes the basic parameters for the development and review of study programmes at institutional level	30					15	15
2.2 Develop a methodological	20	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		5		5

Meeting with RTA Ms. Lisa Bydanova and the staff of the Higher Education Department.

Stakeholders: Ms. Vusala Gurbanova, Senior Advisor at Science, Higher Education and Secondary-Specialized Education Department, CL I; Ms. Nargiz Garakhanova, Senior Advisor at the Science, Higher Education and Secondary-Specialized Education Department, CL IV; Mr. Anar Kazimov, Head of Science Unit of the Science, Higher Education and Secondary-Specialized Education Department; Ms. Nushaba Mammadbayly, Leading Advisor of the Science, Higher Education and Secondary-Specialized Education Department; Mr. Samir Hamidov Leading Advisor of the Science, Higher Education and Secondary-Specialized Education Department of the Ministry of Education.

25 June 2019

Meeting with the staff of the Higher Education Department.

Stakeholders: the stakeholders were the same as in the 24 June meeting.

26 June 2019

Deskwork. Preparing the draft of recommendations.

27 June 2019

A meeting with Ms. Vusala Gurbanova, Senior Advisor at Science, Higher Education and Secondary-Specialized Education Department, CL I and Ms. Nargiz Garakhanova, Senior Advisor at the Science, Higher Education and Secondary-Specialized Education Department, CL IV.

28 June 2019

Deskwork. Preparing the recommendations.

Objectives and tasks of the Mission: to develop proposals for the amendment of legal regulations for doctoral studies at national and institutional levels

Recommendations and results: For more details, see the Mission Report of the Activity 4.3 in the Annex.

Missions and STE Working Days in 5th Quarter

members of the Beneficiary	arvicens belongerad	All Colleges and the	arr superior programs			ACTION NO. OF A STATE OF THE ST	PROCESSOR SERVICES
COMPONENT 4:		#4 Ab	の報酬	128/8			
4.1 Assess the current legal and regulatory framework on education standards, quality assurance, credits and recognition of parts of studies	15			15	5		20 (5 extra WD were allocated from Act. 4.2 through SL4 as the BC asked for more support in drafting the last version of HE state standards)
4.2 Assess the recognition practices in the pilot universities and develop proposals for changes to reflect recognition based on learning outcomes and in line with European best practices	15				10		10
4.3 Review the legal and regulatory framework for recognition and, if required, submit recommendations to comply with European best practices	15					15	15 5 extra working days were added to this Activity through SL6 as BC asked for a support in preparation of rules for Doctoral studies with a focus on ECTS credits
4.4 Amend the legal provisions on the credit system to reflect that credits are based on learning outcomes and student workload	20						-
4.5 Assess the university governance system in three pilot universities with a view to ensuring the best approaches that support the development and review of student-centred programmes, through the participation of students in university governance, and develop proposals to enhance the system	15						-
4.6 Further reinforce knowledge about quality assurance in line with the European Standards and Guidelines for Quality Assurance in the European Higher Education Area and practices from international accreditations to ensure the application of the notion of student-centeredness	15				5		-

Trainings, Workshops and Interviews

During the period covered by **the 5th Quarterly Report**, 39 workshops and 2 interviews were organised, involving respectively **372 participants** (187 men, 185 women) of the MoE, HEIs and other stakeholders. **In total, since the project start,** 3 trainings, 88 workshops, 32 interviews and 1 kick-off event were organised, involving 1134 participants from the BC.

Training sessions and training participants in Quarter 5

						ı	
outcomes are identified and							
designed, which will guide						1	
people responsible for							
development and review of							
programme in implementing a							
student-centred approach						ļ	
2.3 Hold training workshops on	15						::
innovative teaching, learning				i			
and assessment methods,							
including the allocation of						-	
credits based on learning							
outcomes and student workload	[
in order to ensure that student-							
centred curricula are also						i	
implemented in practice							ļ
2.4 Improve study programmes	40				5	20	25
in the priority areas in pilot					25/20		37.5
universities to incorporate							
learning outcomes and inform							
and raise awareness about	ļ						
these achievements							
COMPONENT 3:	Braham a 48499	ENGLOSPICE-DE	W-980/1015 E	Mark Townson	CALCULATE STATE	EVALUATION OF	The old drawn to the annexation of the best time to
OCINI CIVETY 3.			Slot and				
3.1 Develop standards for	20			16			16
programme accreditation in line							1
with the European Standards							
and Guidelines for Quality							
Assurance in the European							
Higher Education Area							
3.2 Undertake capacity building	15				10		10
activities for the Ministry of					10		10
Education staff (including							
Accreditation and Nostrification							
				i			
Office and other stakeholders)							
to enhance the staff's role in						ŀ	i
supporting accreditation							
procedures	4-						
	15			10	10		20 (5 additional WD
3.3 Create handbook on							were allocated from
methodologies and							Act. 3.2 through SL4
requirements for self-	ļ						as the BC asked to
evaluations of higher education							add one more
institutions							deliverable which is a
							handbook for external
							evaluation)
3.4 Hold a training workshop for	20				10	10	20
higher education institutions on							
how to conduct self-evaluation							
processes							
3.5 Pilot universities undertake	30					15	15
a self-evaluation of study							
programme in a priority area							
3.6 Conduct training for external	20						12
reviewers, establish a pool of			'				
i trained reviewers							
trained reviewers 3.7 Undertake a mock	30					1	9.50
3.7 Undertake a mock	30						
3.7 Undertake a mock accreditation at each pilot	30						
3.7 Undertake a mock accreditation at each pilot university for a study	30						
3.7 Undertake a mock accreditation at each pilot university for a study programme in a priority area							
3.7 Undertake a mock accreditation at each pilot university for a study programme in a priority area 3.8 Organize study visit on best	30 Study visit					n\a	-
3.7 Undertake a mock accreditation at each pilot university for a study programme in a priority area 3.8 Organize study visit on best practice for external quality						n\a	-
3.7 Undertake a mock accreditation at each pilot university for a study programme in a priority area 3.8 Organize study visit on best practice for external quality assurance in the European						n\a	
3.7 Undertake a mock accreditation at each pilot university for a study programme in a priority area 3.8 Organize study visit on best practice for external quality assurance in the European Higher Education Area for up to						n\a	-
3.7 Undertake a mock accreditation at each pilot university for a study programme in a priority area 3.8 Organize study visit on best practice for external quality assurance in the European Higher Education Area for up to 7 staff members of the						n\a	-
3.7 Undertake a mock accreditation at each pilot university for a study programme in a priority area 3.8 Organize study visit on best practice for external quality assurance in the European Higher Education Area for up to 7 staff members of the Beneficiary	Study visit					n\a	-
3.7 Undertake a mock accreditation at each pilot university for a study programme in a priority area 3.8 Organize study visit on best practice for external quality assurance in the European Higher Education Area for up to 7 staff members of the Beneficiary 3.9 Organize study visit to the						n\a	-
3.7 Undertake a mock accreditation at each pilot university for a study programme in a priority area 3.8 Organize study visit on best practice for external quality assurance in the European Higher Education Area for up to 7 staff members of the Beneficiary 3.9 Organize study visit to the EU member state on best	Study visit					n\a	-
3.7 Undertake a mock accreditation at each pilot university for a study programme in a priority area 3.8 Organize study visit on best practice for external quality assurance in the European Higher Education Area for up to 7 staff members of the Beneficiary 3.9 Organize study visit to the EU member state on best practice for student-	Study visit					n\a	-
3.7 Undertake a mock accreditation at each pilot university for a study programme in a priority area 3.8 Organize study visit on best practice for external quality assurance in the European Higher Education Area for up to 7 staff members of the Beneficiary 3.9 Organize study visit to the EU member state on best practice for student-centeredness of study	Study visit					n\a	-
3.7 Undertake a mock accreditation at each pilot university for a study programme in a priority area 3.8 Organize study visit on best practice for external quality assurance in the European Higher Education Area for up to 7 staff members of the Beneficiary 3.9 Organize study visit to the EU member state on best practice for student-	Study visit					n\a	-

Project Management

Side letters

- Side Letter No. 5 of 25 April 2019 a) enabled Mrs. Skaburskienė (Cat II) to replace Mr. Pernot (Cat I) and enabled Mrs. Butkiene (Cat II) to replace Mr. Jourdan (Cat I) under Activity 3.4. Mrs. Nora Skaburskienė and Mrs. Jolita Butkiene have participated in previous mission of the project to develop tools for self-evaluation; hence it made it easier for them to support the pilot universities in the process of self-evaluation at this stage; b) it also added one more participant to study visit under Activity 3.8, bringing the number of participants from the total of 7 to 8. One more participant from the Accreditation Department of the Ministry of Education was added to the study visit group. This will enable a larger representation of pilot universities in the study visit, together with relevant representatives from MoE. In total, the group will be composed of 1 representative from each of the 5 pilot universities (BSU, ADPU, AzTU, ASOIU, BEU), 2 representatives from Accreditation and Nostrification Office of MoE (ANO), 1 representative from Science, Higher Education and Secondary Professional Department of MoE; c) it also enabled Mrs. Koke (Cat II) to replace Mrs. Grigorijeva (Cat I) under the Activity 2.4. The number of working days under this Activity will be decreased from 10 to 5.
- Side Letter No. 6 of 27 May 2019 a) nominated Mrs. Butkiene (Cat II) to replace Mrs. Berita Simonaite (Cat I) and Mrs. Nora Skrabuskiene (Cat II) to replace Mrs. Isabela Savickiene (Cat I) for the Activity 3.5; Mrs. Nora Skaburskiene and Mrs. Jolita Butkiene have participated in the previous missions of the project to develop tools for self-evaluation and it would be easier for them to support universities in the process of self-evaluation at that stage; b) nominated a new expert for the Activity 2.4, Mr. Pierre Collet (Cat I), Coordinator of Complex Systems Digital Campus (a UNESCO UniTwin network for the development of complex systems research, http://www.cs-dc.org/) and former Dean of studies for the Computer Science MSc of the Strasbourg University, to review study programmes in the priority areas to incorporate learning outcomes for the programme of Computer Sciences. As BC asked for the state standards for study programmes in pilot areas to be revised by EU experts coming from relevant fields, an additional expert, Mr. Pierre Collet, needed to be added to the pool of short-term experts to revise the programmes in Computer Sciences; c) nominated a new expert Mr. Leonas Balaševičius (Cat II), Vice-Rector for Research and Innovations at Kaunas University of Technology, Kaunas (Lithuania) for the Activity 4.3 and allocated additional 5 working days for this activity. The BC expressed the need for a support in the preparation of rules for Doctoral studies with a focus on ECTS credits. Given the fact that the level of doctoral studies appeared as priority in a recently approved National Strategy for International Competitiveness of Azerbaijani Higher Education, it was deemed relevant to add an additional mission to the Act. 4.3, focused on this aspect.
- Mr. Parviz Yusifov, Deputy Head of International Cooperation Department of the Ministry of Education and also a member of the Steering Committee of the Twinning project approved at the ministerial order, was promoted to the Head of the Agency for Vocational Education and Training at the Ministry of Education. The International Cooperation Department is expected to appoint another person to replace Mr. Yusifov in the future SC meetings.

Links with other on-going/ previous projects:

In general, few contacts with other projects took place over the QP5 because of the busy project agenda. However, a couple of meetings are worthy to mention:

- On May. 25th the RTA had a meeting with Mrs. Gulshan Bayramova, Head of Project Management Office, Baku Business University. EU Erasmus + Establishment and Development of Quality Assurance Centres in Azerbaijan Universities (ref. 586351-EPP-1-2017-1-AZ-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP). Mrs. Bayramova appreciated the documents and resources produced by the Twinning since the project start, which she found very useful. Mrs. Bayramova informed the Twinning RTA that all relevant documents of this Twinning and the previous Twinning project are going to be printed in a form of handbook and disseminated across pilot universities of the project. In the Mid of June, Mrs. Bayramova also attended the workshops to support writing of self-evaluation reports organised by the Twinning at the AzPU premises.
- End of May, a meeting with Mrs. Diane Whaley, English Language Specialist, U.S. Department
 of State, took place at the Azerbaijani State Pedagogical University to discuss the revision of SSSP
 in Foreign Language Teacher.
- The RTA keeps contact with the BC PL of the former Twinning project "Support to further reforms
 of the civil service system in Azerbaijan", Mr. Vali Huseynov. Mr. Huseynov, Head of Dept. at
 State Examination Centre is currently working on new tests in the field of Informatics. The RTA
 shared with his recommendations developed under the mission on revision of the SSSP in the field
 of Computer Sciences. Mr. Huseynov suggested to meet with our project team to discuss together
 how to further improve state standards.

UPDATED 17.07,2019	2 124.75	An and and	Diam's					
Number of project act	vities t	n Quant	er 5					
Number of trainings, workshops, interviews, etc.	Component							
	_ 0	1	2	3	4	SUM		
 Trainings 								
 Workshops 		3	6	26	4	39		
 Interviews 			2		•	2		
Kick-off and closing meetings						_		
Total		3	8	26	4	41		

Number of participation	ants ir	Quart	er 5			的对对数数		
Number of participants (MoE officials, HEIs	Component							
representatives, students, stakeholders, etc.)	0	1	2	3	4	SUM		
Trainings								
Workshops		14	123	139	96	372 (187 men 185 women)		
Interviews				ı	24	24		
Kick-off and closing meetings								
otal		14	123	139	120	396		

Number of trainings, workshops, interviews,	Component							
etc.		1	2	3	4	SUM		
 Trainings 				3		3		
 Workshops 		31	12	36	9	88		
Interviews		26	4		2	32		
 Kick-off and closing meetings 	1					1		
Total	1	57	16	39	11	124		

Number of particip	ants in	Quarte	r 1-5			
Alambara Frank I. J.	,		Co	ompone	ent	•
Number of participants (MoE officials, HEIs representatives, students, stakeholders, etc.)	0	1	2	3	4	SUM
 Trainings 				118		118 (65 men, 53 women)
 Workshops 		265	205	217	100	787 (440 men, 347 women)
Interviews		100	6		33	139
 Kick-off and closing meetings 	90					90
Total	90	365	211	335	133	1134

PPT on best practices of student-centered learning for the Activity 2.1

Translations from Azerbaijani into English so far:

- Decree on ECTS Credits of 2013 (only amended parts of the document was translated), MoE
- Accreditation standards for evaluating the activity of higher education institutions, ANO
- Classification of study programmes in bachelor degree, MoE
- · Classification of master level specialties and residency, MoE
- Number of students by years and specialties (as of 1 October 2017), MoE
- State Standard of Higher Education, Study Programme in IT for Bachelor Level, MoE
- State Standard of Higher Education, Study programme in IT and information systems, Master's level, MoE
- Template of a study plan approved by the MoE
- State Standard of Higher Education, Study programme in Physics, Bachelor level, MoE
- State Standard of Higher Education, Study Programme in Physics Teacher, Bachelor level, MoE
- State Standard of Higher Education, Study programme in Physics Teacher, Master's level, MoE
- State Standard of Higher Education, Study programme in Primary School Teacher, Bachelor level, MoE
- State Standard of Higher Education, Study Programme in Chemistry Teacher, Bachelor level, MoE
- State Standard of Higher Education, Study programme in Chemistry Teacher, Master's level, MoE
- State Standard of Higher Education, Study Programme in Chemical Engineering, Bachelor level, MoE
- State Standard of Higher Education, Study programme in Chemical Engineering, Master's level, MoE
- Primary school teacher_State Standards Master level, MoE
- New classification of specialties Bachelor level, MoE
- State Standard of Higher Education, MoE
- Draft rules on switch between bachelor and sub-bachelor degrees, MoE
- Chemical engineering pilot study programme 2018 EN, MoE
- State Program on Competitiveness of Higher Education, Presidential Administration
- Regulations of Accreditation and Nostrification Office, MoE
- Accreditation Rules of Higher Education Institutions and Study Programmes, MoE
- Study programme of Foreign Language Teacher Bachelor level, MoE
- Study programme of Foreign Language Teacher Master level, MoE
- Study Programme of Computer Science Bachelor level, MoE
- Study Programme of Computer Science Master level, MoE
 Study Programme of Computer Engineering Bachelor level
- Otal 5
- Study Programme of Computer Engineering_Master level
- Study Programme of Oil and Gas Engineering_Bachelor level
- Study Programme of Oil and Gas Engineering_Master level
- Study Programme of Math teacher_Bachelor level
- Study Programme of Math teacher Master level
- Study programme of Biology_Bachelor level
- Study programme of Biology_Master level
- Study programme of Ecology_Bachelor level
- Study programme of Ecology_Master level
- Document on division of powers in management of higher education
- Draft rules for doctoral studies
- Extract from the draft Law on Nostrification

5th Quarter

Study programme of Information Technologies_Bachelor level

Visibility:

Over the 5th quarter, the Twinning project performed the following steps for project visibility:

- The project webpage. The webpage is regularly updated with the calendar of upcoming missions, the mission reports, STE presentations, the documents produced as a result of STE missions, photos from various activities and other relevant info regarding the project activities.
- Facebook page of the project is being regularly updated with relevant news and photos from the STE missions - https://www.facebook.com/twinningInHE.

Translations:

Translations from English into Azerbaijani so far:

- Competence-based approach in higher education curricula: Lithuanian case (ppt), STE Ms. Inga Juknytė-Petreikienė,
- The Tuning Methodology Tools for mobility (ppt), STE Mr. Jean-Luc LAMBOLEY
- Using labour market information for matching and anticipating skills (ppt), STE Mr. Gintautas Jakštas
- Best European Practices using the Labour Market Intelligence (ppt), STE Mr. Gerard Gasquet
- Competence-based approach in higher education curricula: Lithuanian case (ppt), STE Ms. Inga Juknyte-Petreikiene
- Criteria and indicators for external evaluation in Azerbaijan, STEs Mr. Bruno Curvale (FR), Ms. Eliane Kotler (FR), Mr. Almantas Šerpatauskas, Ms. Jolanta Silka (LV)
- New template_State Standard for Physics_Bachelor&Master (including annexes), STE Ms. Inga Juknytė-Petreikienė
- State Standard of the Study Field of Chemical Engineering_new version, STE Ms. Inga Juknyté-Petreikiené
- State Standard of the Study Field of Chemistry Teacher_new version, STE Ms. Inga Juknyté-Petreikiené
- State Standard of the Study Field of Physics_new version, STE Ms. Inga Juknytė-Petreikienė
- State Standard of the Study Field of Physics Teacher_new version, STE Ms. Inga Juknyté-Petreikiené
- Handbook on how to write learning outcomes
- Guideline for self-assessing the competence-orientedness and student-centeredness of a study programme
- Guidelines for preparation of self-evaluation report
- Competences for new version of Biology/Ecology study programme
- Competences for new version of Chemical engineering study programme
- Competences for new version of Chemistry teacher study programme
- Competences for new version of Geography study programme
- Competences for new version of Informatics/Math teacher study programme
- Competences for new version of Physics (Bachelor and Master) study programme
- · Competences for new version of Computer engineering study programme
- · Competences for new version of Electrical energy engineering study programme
- Competences for new version of Information technologies study programme
- Learning outcomes or student-cantered approach to teaching, learning and assessment in HE; PPT, STE Inga Juknyté-Petreikiené
- Handouts for workshops under Act.3.4 (four sheets of paper in total)
- Physics studies. Required competences; PTT, STE Pilippe Turek
- Sample syllabus in Physics

5th Quarter

- Biology/Ecology study programme_suggested version (competences)
- PPT on student-centered approach for the Activity 2.1
- Foreign Language Teacher study programme_BA+MA_suggested version
- Physics study programme_BA+MA_last version drafted by P. Turek
- PPT on writing learning outcomes based on CBA for the Activity 4.3

the which includes the basic as one pot of student-centred as concept of student-centred beyone deblogical compendium on how with outcomes and student enth at student-centred curricula concept learning outcomes and student enth at student-centred curricula about these achievements for programme accreditation in about these achievements for programme accreditation in about these achievements for programme accreditation needed a should these achievements for programme accreditation-related by building activities for relevant concept learning outcomes and for programme accreditation-related workshop for higher education workshop for higher education concept learning activities as self-evaluation of the self-evaluation processes where a self-evaluation of conceptiation at each plict conceptiation debt practical for external conceptiation at each plict conceptiation at	ACTIVITIES / PROJECT MONTH	1 2	3 4	LO.	9		8	9 10	11	12	13	14	15	16 17	18	19	20	21 2	22 2	23 24
The development and review of student-centred meters for the development and review of student-centred meters for the development and review of student centred and designed, which includes the basic meters for the development and review of farmers at institutional designed, which will will may be a methodological compendium on how with other centred approach a methodological compensation of the development and review of student centred curricula in implementing a student-centred approach and an inspermenting a student-centred curricula be people responsible for development and student centred curricula in implementing a student-centred curricula and assessment methods, including the allocation redists because on learning outcomes and student centred curricula also implemented in practice. 2.3 Honore study programmes in the profit areas in main raise awareness about these achievements and main raise awareness about these achievements and main raise awareness about these achievements and main raise awareness about these achievements of higher education of higher education of higher education of higher education of the students of the profit areas and sholders a mock accreditation at each pilott areas in the future of training verkshop for higher education of the students are mock accreditation at each pilott areas mock accreditation at each pilott areas as 8 of conduct raining for external reviewers, establish a conduct self-evaluation bear paratise for external and training verkens for self-evaluation at each pilot areas in the European Higher Education Area and training verkens a self-evaluation and a section and a self-evaluation of the self-evaluation and and a self-evaluation of training contraining for external reviewers.	COMPONENT 2: not started yet								1										- 750	-188
2.2 Develop a methodological compendium on how for the proper and resigned, which will be people responsible for development and review of cute in implementing a student-centred approach cute in implementing a student-centred curricula and assessment methods, including the allocation and assessment methods, including the allocation and assessment methods, including the allocation and active based on learning outcomes and mand-raise awareness about these achievements. In and raise awareness about these achievements and mand-raise awareness about these achievements of mand-raise awareness about these achievements for programme accreditation-related with the European Standards for programme accreditation-related and Cuteful in a correction and a complete and conduct activities for releval and complete	Act. 2.1 Develop and discuss concept of student-centred study programme curricula, which includes the basic parameters for the development and review of study programmes at institutional level		_				_				×									
cula in implementing a student-centred approach 2.3 Hold training workshops on innovative teaching, ining and assessment methods, including the allocation and assessment that student-centred curicula sibso implemented in practice 2.4 Improve study programmes in the priority areas in mand raise average bout these achievements 2.4 Improve study programmes in the priority areas in mand raise average bout these achievements 2.4 Improve study programme accreditation have a mand raise average and arise average average and arise average avera	Act. 2.2 Develop a methodological compendium on how learning outcomes are identified and designed, which will guide people responsible for development and review of		 	-	 			+					×		<u> </u>			 -	 -	
2.3 Hold training workshops on innovative leaching, make and student careful and assessment methods, includent bear at student caution and student chards outcomes and student chards on learning outcomes and student chards in practice are active that student-centred curricula should be active that student-centred curricula should be active that student-centred curricula should be active these achievements and universities to incorporate learning outcomes and mand raise awareness about these achievements for incorporate learning outcomes and mand raise awareness about these achievements for relevant and raise awareness about these achievements for relevant in and raise awareness about these achievements for relevant in the European Higher Education Area and a Careful area and a Caref	curricula in implementing a student-centred approach																			
ing and seessand methods, including the allocation receits based on learning outcomes and student doad in order to ensure that student-centred curricula also implanted in practice and the priority areas in universities to incorporate learning outcomes and mand raises awareness about these achievements and mand raises awareness about these achievements and Guidelines for mand raises awareness about these achievements and Guidelines for ing. 3.1 Develop standards for programme accreditation related light activities for relevant sholders to enhance their accreditation-related cities. 3.2 Undertake capacity building activities for relevant sholders to enhance their accreditation related cities. 3.3 Create handbook on methodologies and irements for self-evaluation processes and irements for self-evaluation processes. 3.5 Choduct training workshop for higher education utions on how to conduct self-evaluation of programme in a priority area a mock accreditation at each pilot trained reviewers. 3.5 Choduct training for external reviewers, establish a of trained reviewers. 3.6 Choduct training for external reviewers, establish a of trained reviewers are mock accreditation at each pilot astudy programme in a priority area and the surpean Higher Education Area as a subject to external reviewers and the surpean Higher Education Area and a season pilot astudy programme in a priority area. 3.8 Organize study usit on best practise for external reviewers and the surpean Higher Education Area and a season pilot astudy programme in a priority area. 3.8 Organize study usit on best practise for external reviewers.	Act. 2.3 Hold training workshops on innovative teaching,		\vdash	\vdash				\vdash					╫	-	_		\dagger	+	+	-
todad in order to ensure that student-centred curricula also implemented in practice Isla implemented in practice Isla improve study programmes in the priority areas in universities to incorporate fearning outcomes and mand raises awareness about these achievements In universities to incorporate learning outcomes and mand raises awareness about these achievements for mand raises awareness about these achievements In universities to incorporate learning outcomes and mand raises awareness about these achievements for relevant and mand raises awareness about these achievements for relevant and study incorporate fear achievements and frements for self-evaluation processes Isla Plod a training workshop for higher education of itements for self-evaluation processes Isla Plod a training for external reviewers, establish a fortrained reviewers Isla Plod a training for external reviewers, establish a for trained reviewers Isla Plod a training for external reviewers, establish a for trained reviewers Isla Plod a training for external reviewers, establish a for trained reviewers Isla Plod a training for external reviewers, establish a for trained reviewers Isla Plod a training for external reviewers, establish a for trained reviewers Isla Plod a training for external reviewers, establish a for trained reviewers Isla Plod a training for external reviewers are priority area Isla Plod a training for external reviewers are priority area Isla Plod a training for external reviewers are priority area Isla Plod a training for external reviewers are priority area Isla Plod a training for external reviewers are priority area Isla Plod a training for external reviewers are priority area Isla Plod a training for external reviewers are priority area Isla Plod a training for external reviewers are priority area Isla Plod a training for external reviewers are priority area Isla Plod a trained reviewers are priority area Isla Plod a trained reviewers area plod a trained area plod a trained area priority area In pr	s, including the a outcomes and					_	-													
And the standards for processes and the priority areas in mand raises awareness about these achievements and cuidelines for relevant 3.1 Develops standards and Guidelines for relevant as 2.2 Undertake capacity building activities for relevant abroiders to enhance their accreditation-related cities as Create handbook on methodologies and rainents for self-evaluations of higher education cities as Create handbook on higher education who to conduct self-evaluation processes as 2.3. The defrake a self-evaluation of a self-evaluation of a self-evaluation at each pilot a training for external reviewers, establish a difference reviewers as the conduct training for external reviewers as all defrakes a muck accreditation at each pilot arisity for a study programme in a priority area as a self-evaluation at each pilot arisity for a study programme in a priority area as as 8.8 Organize study visit on best practise for external minimum of 7 bersons	student-centred			-				,					_							
2.4 Improve study programmes in the priority areas in will write the converse learning outcomes and mand raise awareness about these achievements and mand raise awareness about these achievements and mand raise awareness about these achievements and audielines for mand cauciation Area 3.1 Develop standards and Guidelines for relevant shot derake capacity building activities for relevant shotders to enhance their accreditation-related cities 3.2 Undertake capacity building activities for relevant shotders to enhance their accreditation-related cities and 3.3 Create handbook on methodologies and reanness for self-evaluation processes and 3.4 Hold a training workshop for higher education utions of training workshop for higher education of programme in a priority area as felf-evaluation of programme in a priority area and straining for external reviewers, establish a conduct raining for external reviewers as the function at each pilot arisity for a study programme in a priority area as 3.8 Organize study visit on best practise for external sustainmun of 7 bersons	are also implemented in practice																			
Universities to incorporate learning outcomes and incontracts to incorporate learning outcomes and incontracts about these achievements in the contract of programme accreditation in with the European Standards and Guidelines for filt Assurance in the European Higher Education Area is 2. Undertake capacity building activities for relevant sholders to enhance their accreditation-related capacity building activities for relevant sholders to enhance their accreditation-related capacity building activities for relevant and book on methodologies and cities as 3.3. Charles the andbook on methodologies and cities as 3.3. Charles the andbook on higher education of higher education of the attaining workshop for higher education of the attaining for external reviewers, establish a contract training for external reviewers, establish a contract accreditation at each pilot as 3.4. Undertake a mock accreditation at each pilot as 3.4. Undertake a mock accreditation at each pilot as 3.4. Undertake a mock accreditation at each pilot as 3.8. Organize study visit on best practise for external by assurance in the European Higher Education Area and a priority area as 3.8. Organize study visit on best practise for external by assurance in the European Higher Education Area and a priority area as a contract and a priority area and a priority area as a contract and a priority area.	Act. 2.4 Improve study programmes in the priority areas in			_					_	温度	100	916	\vdash	-	L		┢	H	\vdash	\vdash
Incomparison of the control of the control of the control of training workshop for higher education of training on two to conduct self-evaluation of training to external reviewers. 3.3 Conduct training for external reviewers, establish a for further and control of training or external reviewers. 3.4 Conduct training for external reviewers, establish a for further a mock accreditation at each pilot training for external reviewers, establish a for further study programme in a priority area such a such	outcomes							<u></u>		×	0.00									
A Surance in the European Standards and Guidelines for lity Assurance in the European Standards and Guidelines for relevant shoulders to enhance their accreditation-related and defers to enhance their accreditation-related shoulders to enhance their accreditation-related accreditation shoulders to enhance their accreditation related and defines and rememts for self-evaluations of higher education without a training workshop for higher education of trained a training workshop for higher education of the area of trained a training sort where a self-evaluation of trained reviewers a self-evaluation of trained reviewers and seach pilot and staining for external reviewers, establish a soft sained reviewers a mock accreditation at each pilot are stady programme in a priority area of trained reviewers and standy programme in a priority area as a self-evaluation at each pilot and staining for external reviewers and standards and programme in a priority area of trained reviewers and standards and programme in a priority area as a series of trained reviewers and a series of trained revie	COMPONENT 2.			NAME AND DESCRIPTION OF	A. C.			100000000000000000000000000000000000000					-	-			┫	\dashv	4	-
A conduct training for external reviewers, establish a congramme in a priority area 3.4 Undertake a mock accreditation of trained reviewers 3.5 Character handbook on methodologies and rearing workshop for higher education utions 3.6 Conduct training for external reviewers, establish a of trained reviewers 3.7 Undertake a mock accreditation at each pilot assisty for a study programme in a priority area 3.8 Organize study visit on best practise for external reviewers as a study programme in a priority area are with a study programme in a priority area as a study programme in a priority area area pilot a study programme in a priority area area	Act 3.1 Develope of and a feet and feet at the state of t							Control of the last		Section 1	は、						L			
Ity Assurance in the European Higher Education Area 3.2 Undertake capacity building activities for relevant training for external reviewers and trained a training workshop for higher education of trained reviewers. 3.3 Create handbook on methodologies and frements for self-evaluations of higher education utions 3.4 Hold a training workshop for higher education of trained reviewers, establish a soft trained reviewers, establish a soft trained reviewers. 3.5 Pilot universities undertake a self-evaluation of trained reviewers. 3.6 Conduct training for external reviewers, establish a soft trained reviewers. 3.7 Undertake a mock accreditation at each pilot arisity for a study programme in a priority area of trained reviewers. 3.8 Organize study visit on best practise for external reviewers are subject to the conduct training for external reviewers. 3.8 Organize study visit on best practise for external reviewers are minimum of 7 bersons. 3.9 And the conduct training for external reviewers are pilot assurance in the European Higher Education Area minimum of 7 bersons.	line with the Circust Charlest Charlest Carlotte																			
3.2 Undertake capacity building activities for relevant sholders to enhance their accreditation-related crities 3.3 Create handbook on methodologies and remements for self-evaluations of higher education utions on how to conduct self-evaluation processes 3.5 Pilot universities undertake a self-evaluation of training for external reviewers, establish a of trained reviewers 3.6 Conduct training for external reviewers, establish a of trained reviewers 3.7 Undertake a mock accreditation at each pilot arity for a study programme in a priority area and of trained reviewers 3.8 Organize study visit on best practise for external reviewers as 8.8 Organize study visit on best practise for external reviewers as the pilot as the propean Higher Education Area withinfimum of 7 persons	Quality Assurance in the European Higher Education Area		-	<u>×</u>									<u>- </u>				_			
sholders to enhance their accreditation-related cities 3.3 Create handbook on methodologies and rements for self-evaluations of higher education utions on how to conduct self-evaluation processes 3.5 Pilot universities undertake a self-evaluation of trained reviewers, establish a of trained reviewers 3.6 Conduct training for external reviewers, establish a of trained reviewers 3.7 Undertake a mock accreditation at each pilot arisity for a study programme in a priority area 3.8 Conduct training for external reviewers, establish a of trained reviewers 3.9 Conduct training for external reviewers and pilot accreditation at each pilot astudy programme in a priority area 3.8 Organize study visit on best practise for external reviewers and pilot accreditation at each pilot accreditation accreditation at each pilot accreditation at each pilot accreditation accreditation accreditation accredit	Act. 3.2 Undertake capacity building activities for relevant		+						Des		\dagger	+	+	+			\dagger	+	+	+
3.3 Create handbook on methodologies and frements for self-evaluations of higher education utions 3.4 Hold a training workshop for higher education butions on how to conduct self-evaluation of trained reviewers, establish a self-evaluation at each pilot trained reviewers and priority area of trained reviewers and priority area of trained reviewers and priority area and trained reviewers are priority area and trained reviewers and priority area and trained reviewers are priority area.	stakeholders to enhance their accreditation-related					×			020			_								
3.3 Create handbook on methodologies and lirements for self-evaluations of higher education utions 3.4 Hold a training workshop for higher education of Landon and training workshop for higher education of Landon bow to conduct self-evaluation of Conduct self-evaluation of Landon bow to conduct self-evaluation of Landon bow	capacities																			
utions 3.4 Hold a training workshop for higher education utions on how to conduct self-evaluation processes 3.5 Pilot universities undertake a self-evaluation of trained reviewers 3.6 Conduct training for external reviewers, establish a of trained reviewers 3.7 Undertake a mock accreditation at each pilot ersity for a study programme in a priority area 3.8 Organize study visit on best practise for external ty assurance in the European Higher Education Area minimum of 7 persons	handbook on methodologies		-				- 2		100			╁		L			\dagger	+	+	+
3.4 Hold a training workshop for higher education utions on how to conduct self-evaluation processes 3.5 Pilot universities undertake a self-evaluation of training for external reviewers, establish a of trained reviewers 3.6 Conduct training for external reviewers, establish a of trained reviewers 3.7 Undertake a mock accreditation at each pilot ersity for a study programme in a priority area 3.7 Undertake a mock accreditation at each pilot ersity for a study programme in a priority area 3.8 Organize study visit on best practise for external ty assurance in the European Higher Education Area minimum of 7 persons	self-evaluations of higher	7	,			-	×				-								-	
utions on how to conduct self-evaluation of 7.5 Pilot universities undertake a self-evaluation of 7.5 Pilot universities undertake a self-evaluation of 7 persons 3.5 Pilot universities undertake a self-evaluation of 2.5 Pilot universities a self-evaluation of 2.5 Pilot universities undertake a self-evaluation of 2.5			+	+		+						+	-	<u> </u>			٠.	+	+	+
3.5 Pilot universities undertake a self-evaluation of programme in a priority area 3.6 Conduct training for external reviewers, establish a of trained reviewers 3.7 Undertake a mock accreditation at each pilot astudy programme in a priority area 3.8 Organize study visit on best practise for external ty assurance in the European Higher Education Area minimum of 7 persons	institutions on how to conduct self-evaluation processes							<u>×</u>										_		
1. Programme in a priority area 3.6 Conduct training for external reviewers, establish a of trained reviewers 3.6 Conduct training for external reviewers, establish a of trained reviewers 3.7 Undertake a mock accreditation at each pilot estity for a study programme in a priority area 3.8 Organize study visit on best practise for external ty assurance in the European Higher Education Area minimum of 7 persons	ake a self-evaluation	,	H				-	-	L		;	68	130	_		1	\dagger	+	+	-
3.6 Conduct training for external reviewers, establish a of trained reviewers. 3.7 Undertake a mock accreditation at each pilot estity for a study programme in a priority area 3.8 Organize study visit on best practise for external ty assurance in the European Higher Education Area minimum of 7 persons	study programme in a priority area										×		7.5			_				
3.7 Undertake a mock accreditation at each pilot stript for a study programme in a priority area 3.8 Organize study visit on best practise for external ty assurance in the European Higher Education Area minimum of 7 persons	Act. 3.6 Conduct training for external reviewers, establish a pool of trained reviewers								-	×		-							-	┼—
×	3.7 Undertake a mock accreditation at each		-	-		-	-	1			+	+	+	_			+	╁	-	_
	university for a study programme in a priority area										•		_					_		
	Act. 3.8 Organize study visit on best practise for external quality assurance in the European Higher Education Area				`				-			,						-	-	-
	for a minimum of 7 persons	,				,		-												

TIMING AND DELAYS IN MONTHS OF IMPLEMENTATION (the letter X stands for initial planning and cells highlighted in orange stand for actual implementation)

implementation)																						
ACTIVITIES / PROJECT MONTH	1 2	S.	4	က	9	7	80	6	101	11 1	12 1	3 14	7.	16	17	18	4	20	2	22	2.5	7.6
GENERAL ACTIVITIES			驱			95	The second					133	- 100	-10	y.				-16	- 100	37	
Kick-off Meeting		-	_	×		100000000000000000000000000000000000000		-			-	_	-		- Appropriate					-		
Steering Committee Meetings		×		_		×	+		×	+	×		\perp	×			>	+	\dagger	 	t	>
Closure Meeting		\vdash		\vdash			\vdash	+	+	+	+		1	:			₹	Ť	\dagger	<u> </u>	+	< >
COMPONENT 1:						1718		100					-							10	Stelen St	7
Act. 1.1 Improvement of concept and methodology for			L			Г	-	H	-	-	-	-		AND THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 1						-		
competence-based education standards		×				•	_		,											_	•.	
Act. 1.2 Identify three priority areas for the improvement of		238	2004	L			\vdash	-	-		-	\vdash		_			T	\dagger	\dagger	+	╁	Т
competence-based education standards on the basis of								_	_											_	_	
economic and social refevance, which includes an		35	31 57						_												-	
assessment of the contribution of sectors to the GDP and		183				_														—-		
an analysis of the employability of graduates		100	×						- 1	-										_	_	_
Act. 1.3 Assess and review the current system for using			1.4	STREET, STREET				┝	\vdash	-	-						T	\dagger	+-	+	+-	Т
labour market data and skills forecasting within the Ministry		200							_		_											_
of Education			×	2367						_									_	-	_	
Act. 1.4 Develop methodology to transform labour market			L		が変	\vdash	+	+	+-	+	-	\perp	Ļ			1	T	+-	+-	+	╁	Т
data into discipline specific education requirements				×	STATE OF THE PARTY	_		_												_	-	
Act. 1.5 Development of recommendations for education			-			NGG5	0.000	-4	270	69	\perp	\perp	\downarrow				t	\dagger	\dagger	+	+	Т
standards for qualifications for programmes in the priority						401,50			TO S	0.12											_	_
areas (incl. legislative arrangements) with a view to						CHICAGO.	NATE OF			UTO AN												
describing achievements based on competences and	_		_						SALE SALE SALE SALE SALE SALE SALE SALE	31												
skills, considering the AzQF				15 AN		×	×			(02)									_			
Act. 1.6 Devise methodology for developing national		L						1	H	ı		987				\dagger	\dagger	\dagger	+	+	+	Т
classifications of specialities in higher education					-		_					20000										
Act. 1.7 Develop national classifications of specialities in							\vdash	\vdash	 	╀	L	Service of the last	-			\dagger	+	\dagger	+	+	+	Т
the priority areas		_					_	×									_		_		_	_
Act. 1.8 Awareness raising activity on the benefits of								\vdash	\vdash	ļ.	L	L	L				t	+	+	+	+	Т
competence-based education to support the										_												_
implementation of student-centred curricula as part of the											<u> </u>											
educational reforms																						_
Act. 1.9 Organise study visit on best practise for competence based education system							×		2552		_					\vdash	H	\vdash		-	+	Т
	-	4	4		7	4	-	4	20	\dashv	4	_					_	_	_	_	_	_

Recuperation of delays

The Activity 1.7 Improve national classifications of specialities in the priority areas, which was originally planned for the tenth month (mid-January – mid-February 2019) of the project, was implemented in the fourteenth month (from mid-May - mid June 2019).

The Activity 2.1 Develop and discuss concept of student-centered study programme, which includes the basic parameters for the development and review of study programme at institutional level, which was originally planned for the thirteenth month (mid-April – mid-May 2019) of the project, was implemented in the fifteenth month (mid-June – mid-July 2019).

The **Activity 3.5** Support for self-evaluation report writing, which was originally planned for the thirteenth month (mid-April – mid-May 2019) of the project, was implemented in the fifteenth month (mid-June – mid-July 2019).

Activities planned for this quarterly period, but postponed to the next QR or later time

Activity 3.8 Organize study visit on best practise for external quality assurance in the European Higher Education Area for a minimum of 7 persons initially planned for the fourteenth month, is delayed for later period since the BC has asked to prioritize revision of state study programmes in pilot areas and pilot evaluation of pilot study programmes and finalize them as soon as possible.

Activities performed with advance

No Activity was performed with advance.

2E. ASSESSMENT

Overall assessment of progress

In general, the project progresses smoothly. Some issues could however be mentioned:

Issues

- The BC asked that the selected SSSP would undergo the revision by experts coming from relevant fields. This was not foreseen in the initial ToR of the project which focus on the tuning with the AzQF and a shift towards competence-based approach. The current pool of short-term experts contains field experts for certain fields, but do not cover all pilot areas. New experts will be added to the pool of short-term experts of the project.
- 2. Important work was delivered under the Component 3 and all pilot universities produced self-evaluation reports in both Azeri and English (in total 24 reports were collected). Although the quality of some reports could still be improved, the project considers that it is a learning process and that the unequal quality of SERs is a natural phenomenon in the learning process.

Recommendations

 Despite a heavy agenda of project missions and activities with HEIs, more time shall be devoted to meetings with key stakeholders of the project (MoE) in order to discuss the project progress and deliverables.

Outlook to the next quarter

The next step of project implementation will be:

- to continue progress on the revision of SSSP for selected programmes (Component I&2);
- to organising trainings for external evaluations (Component 3),
- to continue progress on legislative documents revision (Component 4),
- support student-centred approach in pilot programmes (Component 2).

best practise for student-centeredness of study programmes for a minimum of 7 persons	_									_			×		-	-		- 4
1 2	3 4	ιΩ.	ဖ	_	œ	, O	10 11	1 12	13	14	15	16	17 1	18 1	19 2	20 21	1 22	23
COMPONENT 4			le l	Wild V	-													
Act. 4.1 Assess the current legal and regulatory framework on education standards, quality assurance, credits and recognition of parts of studies					Tare.	PRE-1015.519.2206	27/37	×				,						
Act. 4.2 Assess the recognition practices in the pilot								7 0										
universities and develop proposals for changes to reflect								Wat.		×								
European best practices		_											\dashv			\dashv	\dashv	4
Act. 4.3 Review the legal and regulatory framework for																		
recognition and, if required, amend in accordance with									×									
European best practices	1	+				1	+	+				+	+	+	+	+	+	_
Act. 4.4 Amend the legal provisions on the credit system to																		
reflect that credits are based on learning outcomes and																<u> </u>		
student workload	+	-	-			1	\dashv	-	4			1	+	\dagger	+	+	+	\downarrow
Act. 4.5 Assess the university governance system in three																		
pilot universities with a view to ensuring the best						-	•											
approaches that support the development and review of																	×	
student-centred curricula, through the participation of																		
students in university governance, and develop proposals														-				
to enhance the system		+				1	+	\dashv	4			1	\dagger	\dagger	+	+	+	+
Act. 4.6 Further reinforce knowledge about quality									_									
assurance in line with the European Standards and																	-	
Guidelines for Quality Assurance in the European Higher																		×
Education Area and practices from international																		
accreditations to ensure the application of the notion of																		

The following activities should be progressed through during the QP6:

COMPONENT 1:

 Act. 1.5.5 Provide recommendations for improvement of education standards for qualification for programmes in the priority areas (incl. legislative arrangements) with a view to describing achievements based on competences and skills, considering the AzQF (Ecology, Geography, Information Technologies)

Act. 1.5.6 Provide recommendations for improvement of education standards for qualification for programmes in the priority areas (incl. legislative arrangements) with a view to describing

achievements based on competences and skills, considering the AzQF (Biology)

 Act. 15.7 Provide recommendations for improvement of education standards for qualification for programmes in the priority areas (incl. legislative arrangements) with a view to describing achievements based on competences and skills, considering the AzQF (Primary School Teacher, Math Teacher, Informatics Teacher)

COMPONENT 3:

Act. 3.6.1 Conduct training for external reviewers, establish a pool of trained reviewers

Act. 3.6.2. Conduct training for external reviewers, establish a pool of trained reviewers

Confirmed Missions for 6th Quarter

09.09-13.09.2019 Act. 3.6.1 Conduct training for external reviewers, establish a pool of trained reviewers

STEs: Ms. Rasa Penkauskiene (LT), Ms. Jolanta Silka (LV)

23.09-27.09.2019 Act. 1.5.5 Provide recommendations for improvement of education

standards for qualification for programmes in the priority areas (incl. legislative arrangements) with a view to describing achievements based on competences and skills, considering the AzQF (Ecology, Geography,

Information Technologies)

STEs: Ms. Dovilé Krupickaité (LT), Mr. Audrius Lopata (LT), Mr. Maris

Klavinš (LV)

30.09.-04.10.2019 Act. 1.5.6 Provide recommendations for improvement of education

standards for qualification for programmes in the priority areas (incl. legislative arrangements) with a view to describing achievements based

on competences and skills, considering the AzQF (Biology)

STE: Ms. Meza Trine Johanson (LT)

30.09-04.10.2019 Act. 3.6.2. Conduct training for external reviewers, establish a pool of

trained reviewers

STEs: Ms. Eliane Kotler (FR), Ms. Michelle Houppe (FR)

07.10-11.10.2019 Act. 1.5.7 Provide recommendations for improvement of education

standards for qualification for programmes in the priority areas (incl. legislative arrangements) with a view to describing achievements based on competences and skills, considering the AzQF (Primary School

Teacher, Math Teacher, Informatics Teacher)

STEs: Dr. Ineta Helmane (LV), Dr. Antra Ozola (LV), Dr. Jānis Mencis

(LV)

Any other issues:

- 6th Project Steering Committee Meeting is suggested to take place in the end of November 2019 (tentatively on Nov. 28th).

Section 3: Expenditures

Period: 13.04.2019 - 12.07.2019

<u>6</u>	Γ	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	Γ									ſ	
Conting enc ies		_		L							L			
Amount introdu ced by SLt														
Amount foreseen in original budget		14400,00 €	864,00 €	15264.00 €		13 478,00 €	9 00'009		3000,00 €	2100,00 €		19178,00 €		4200,00 €
Paid in EUR		13970,16 €	838,21 €	14808.37 €		1347,00 €	238,14 €		3000'00 €	2100,00 €		18816,14 €		4200,00 €
INFOEU RO exchang e rate														
Paid in local curr ency			_				_							
Breakdown and clarification	nths)	Basic salary :2454,86Euros non-wage labour costs: 2201,86 Euros 3x*4656,72 Euros	%00'9			92 days * 146,50 Euros	INSURANCE FEES (54,26+8,53+16,59Euros) *3 months	•				vances	RTA and Languages assistants	(1400 Euros*3 months)
Date of invoice	ova (24 mon	24/04/20 19 27/05/20 19 24/06/20 19				19			_			Sub-Total RTA Allowances		
Invoice	: Elizaveta Bydar	Payroll from CIEP	Payroll from CIEP			Invoice 10 Invoice 11 Invoice 12	MAAF INSURANCE INVOICE	175583057S	Lease	Invoice 10 Invoice 11 Invoice 12		Sub-Tol		Invoice F04- 2019/F05- 2019/F06- 2019
Date(s) of services	isor remuneration Mrs	14/04/2019- 14/07/2019	14/04/2019- 14/07/2019	eration		14/04/2019- 14/07/2019	14/04/2019- 14/07/2019		14/04/2019- 14/07/2019	14/04/2019- 14/07/2019				START OF CONTRACT 29/05/2018
Name of services / goods purchased or direct costs	Resident Twinning Advisor remuneration Mrs Elizaveta Bydanova (24 months)	Basic salary and non-wage labour costs	6% of salary and non-wage labour costs	Sub-Total RTA Remuneration	RTA Allowances	Daily allowances (50%)	Health and accident insurance for RTA	•	Accommodation	Monthly allowance for APEC return trip	RTA Office Cost (including office stationery, Telephone, internet)			RTA LANGUAGE ASSISTANT Tarlan ARZUMANOV

			,								_		
	-	-							<u> </u>	_		_	-
0 €) é	-			<u> </u>	9€	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	-	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
4 200,00 €	8400,00 €				700,00€	1 050,00 €	879,00€	700,00 €			-175,00€	-262,50 €	
4 200,00 €	8400,00 €	-			700,00 €	1 050,00 €	879,00 €	630,56 €	21,12 €	_	<u> </u>	20 €	
4 20	8400			_	700	1 050	879	630	21,		-175,00 €	-262,50 €	
	<u> </u>				<u></u>		<u> </u>		-				_
	<u> </u>	-		35 t			<u> </u>		_	- 8 8			_ o
(1400 Euros*3 months)		Project coordination cost	STEERING COMMITTEE N.4	Arrival Paris-Baku 19/05/2019 at 18:35 Departure Baku-Paris 22/02/2019 at 15:00	2 days*350 Euros	1,5*(1days*350 Euros)	3days*293 Euros	Airticket [PARIS - BAKU - PARIS], eco class 1X(630,56 Euros)	VISA 1*24 USD	Arrival Vilnius-Baku the 11/07/2018 at 14:10 Departure Baku-Vilnius the 13/07/2018 at at 05.10	0,5*days*350€	1,5*(0,5*days*350€)	Arrival Vilnius-Baku the 19/05/2019 at 14:00 Departure Baku-Vilnius the 22/05/2019 at 15:00
	_				27/05/20			07/05/19	_		23/07/20		
Invoice F 11- 2019/F12- 2018/F13- 2019	_				Payroll from CIEP		Depl 1889	JANCARTHI ER INVOICE F001091121 5	AE 101146941		Pay rolf 160/A Just on day paied to Gedrius Viliunas		
START OF CONTRACT 01/06/2018					20-21/05/2019	20-21/05/2019	19-21/05/2019	1-22/05/2019			12-13/07/18	12-13/07/18	
RTA ASSISTANT Aytaj ATAKISHIEVA	Sub total RTA Assistants			Bruno CURVALE, Senior MS PL (FR) Paris-Baku	Fees -STE Cat II	Project Management Cost	Perdiem	Infernational travel		Giedrius VILIUNAS, Junior MS PL (LT) Vilnius-Baku Steering Committe 12/07/18	Fees -STE Cat II (correction from first trimester)	Project Management Cost (correction from first trimester)	Giedrius ViLIUNAS, Junior MS PL (LT) Vilnius-Baku

	_		_		_		_	-	165,31	_	165,31 €					_	_	
_		_				_	<u> </u>				E.				-	<u> </u>		<u> </u>
700,00 €	1 050,00 €	879,00 €	700,00€			700,00 €	1 050,00 €	879,00 €	700,00 €		9 549,50 €					1 750,00 €	1 875,00 €	1 758,00 €
700,000 €	1 050,00 €	879,00 €	518,00 €	21,39 €] ≥00'00∠]	1 050,00 €	879,00 €			9 340,57 €		sased focus	ducation		1 250,00 €	1875,00 €	1758,00 €
			_	6 0.89142		_		_	_	_			competence-t	es in higher ec	_		_	
		_	_	24us d	_			_					lude a	ecialit	_			
2*days*350 Euros	1,5*(1days*350 Euros)	3*days*293 Euros	Airticket [VILNIUS- BAKU - VILNIUS] , eco class 1X(518 Euros)	VISA 1*24 USD	Arrival Riga-Baku the 19/05/2019 at 20:25 Departure Baku-Riga the 22/05/2019 at 15:00	1*2 day*350 Euros	1,5*(1*1day*350 Euros)	3*days*293 Euros	Airticket [RIGA - BAKU - RIGA] , eco class 1X(843,40 Euros)	VISA 1X (21,91 Euros)		Project activities	Result 1: Selected National Education Standards are aligned to include a competence-based focus	Devise methodology for developing national classifications of specialities in higher education	Arrival Vilnius-Baku the 21/04/2019 at Departure Baku-Vilnius the 27/04/2019 at at	5 days*250Euros	1,5*(5days*250 Euros)	1*6days*293Euros
31/05/20		17/05/20 19	03/05/20	30/04/20		31/05/20		30/05/20	15/05/20	12/05/20 19			lected Nation	ethodology f		avr19		12/04/20
Pay roll 300845435		Time Sheet	Invoice West express n° 1282125	Evisa AE10135381 7		Payroll 5,1		Invoice Hotel Austin Hotel 27635	INVOICE BALTATOU R 446	Visa AE10138068 5			Result 1: Se	Devise m		Payroll 300845435		Time Sheet
20-21/05/2019	20-21/05/2019	19-21/05/2019	19-22/05/2019			19-22/05/2019	19-22/05/2019	19-21/05/2019	19-22/05/2019							22-26/04/2019	22-26/04/2019	21-26/04/2019
Fees -STE Cat II	Project Management Cost	Perdiem	International travel		Baiba RAMINA, Junior MS PL (LV) Riga - Baku	Fees -STE Cat II	Project Management Cost	Perdiem	International travel		Sub-Total co- ordination cost				G. JAKSTAS Vilnius-Bakou	Fees -STE Cat II	Project Management Cost	Perdiem

<u> </u>			ישמכ					_
₹	F001091830 4		1X(515,20 Euros)					
	EVisa AE10148806 4	17/06/19	VISA (1*24 USD)	\$24 00	0,89935	21,44 €		
<u> </u>	Ticket Public transport snof	23- 30/06/20 19	2*14,05€			29,00 €		
			Arrival Riga-Baku 23/06/2019 at 14:00 Departure Baku-Riga 29/06/2019 at 05:00					
24-28/6/2019	Payroll 6,1	28/06/20	5 days"250 Euros			1 250,00 €	1 750,00 €	
24-28/6/2019			1,5*(5days*250 Euros)			1 875,00 €	1 875,00 €	
	Invoice Downtown Apartment	03/07/20	1*6days*293Euros			1 758,00 €	1 758,00 €	
23-29/06/2019	Invoice No 536 (Baltatour)	30.05.20	Airicket [RIGA - BAKU - RIGA] , eco class 1X(415,20 Euros)			415,20 €	700,00 €	
₹	E-Visa No AE10149158	18/06/19	VISA (1*21,94Euros)			21,94 €		
			Arrival Vilnius-Baku 23/06/2019 at 03:55 Departure Baku-Vilnius 29/06/2019 at					
24-28/06/2019	Payroll FR2019	23/06/20	5 days*350 Euros			1 750,00 €	1 750,00 €	
24-28/06/2019			1,5*(5days*350 Euros)			2 625,00 €	2 625,00 €	
23-28/06/2019	Time sheet	05/03/20	1*6days*293Euros			1 758,00 €	1 758,00 €	
23-29/06/2019	Invoice Zip travel n° 0023183	30/05/19	Airticket [VILNIUS - BAKU - VILNIUS], eco class 1X(588,53 Euros)			588,53 €	700,00 €	
- A	EVisa AE11014930 68	14/06/19	VISA (1*24 USD)	24us d	0,8935	21,44 €		
<u> </u>	Invoice Royal Palace Hotel	23/06/19	Taxi (1*23 AZN)	23az n	0,52559	.12,09 €		
	^	_				17 523,84 €	18 999,00 €	9 00'0

M		V										 -	,		-		<u> </u>	
298,4	<u> </u>		298,40				_	_	514,19		514,19 E	812,59 €		nenting a	_			_
700,00 €		-	6 083,00 €			1 250,00 €	1 875,00 €	1 758,00 €	700,00 €		5 583,00 €	11 666,00 €		ew of curricula in impler		1 750,00 €	1 875,00 €	1 758,00 €
700,00€			5 583,00 € 6 (1 250,00 € 1 :	_	1 758,00 € 1	700,00€ 7		5 583,00 € 5 8	11 166,00 € 11		pment and revi		1 250,00 € 1	_	1 758,00 € 1
1 200	68'0		5 583	ors	_	1 250	1 875	1 758	<u> </u>	0.89686	283	11 16	student-centred	nsible for develo	_	1 250	1 875	1 758
	24\$			priority sect					_	\$24, 0, 00			to be more	eoble respo				
Airticket (VILINIUS - BAKU -VILINIUS) , eco class 1X(516,81+371,20Euros)	VISA (1*24USD)	VISA (1*89Euros)		Develop national classifications of specialities in the priority sectors	Arrival Strasbourg-Baku the 09/06/2019 at 20:25 Departure Baku-strasbourg the 15/06/2019 at 04:30	5 days*250Euros	1,5*(5days*250 Euros)	1*6days*293Euros	Airlicket (STRASBOURG-FRANKFURT - BAKU - FRANKFURT-STRASBOURG), eco class 1X(1081,79+110,88Euros)	VISA (1*24USD)			Pilot study programme curricula in priority sectors are improved to be more student-centred	Develop a methodological compendium on how learning outcomes are identified and designed, which will guide people responsible for development and review of curricula in implementing a student-centred	Arrival Paris-Baku 23/06/2019 at 18:35 Departure Baku-Paris 30/06/2019 at 06:30	5 days*250 Euros	1,5*(5days*250 Euros)	1*6days*293Euros
29/03/20 19- 18/04/20 19	08/04/19	14/04/19		Develop					28/05/19	31/05/20 019			y programm	utcomes are		31/07/20		
Invoice West express n 1450193/127 7226	Evisa AE10130167 6	Evisa AE10132146 4				Payroll from CIEP		Depl: 9561	Invoice JANCARTHI ER F- 0010918800	EVisa AE11014470 08			Pilot stud	on how learning o		Payroll from CIEP		
21-27/04/2019						10-14/06/2019	10-14/06/2019	09-14/06/2019	09-15/06/2019					ological compendium of		24-28/06/2019	24-28/06/2019	23-28/06/2019
International travel		(4)	Total 1,6		Philippe TUREK (FR)	Fees -STE Cat II	Project Management Cost	Perdiem	International travel		Total 1.7	Sub-total component 1		Develop a methodo	Mourad Attarca (fr) Paris-Bakou	Fees -STE Cat II	Project Management Cost	Perdiem

	T.Koke (LV) Riga -Bakou	Fees -STE Cat II	Project Management Cost	Perdiem	International travel			Pierre COLLET (FR)	Fees -STE Cat II	Project Management Cost	Perdiem	
		20-24/05/2019	20-24/05/2019	19-24/05/2019	19-25/05/2019		20-24/05/2019		03-07/06/2019	03-07/06/2019	02-07/06/2019	
		Payroll N°aa2019/10 -004		Invoice Austin hotel N°27727	Invoice Baltatur N° 407	EVisa AE10136218	Invoice Taxi Austin Hotel 27727		Payroll from CIEP		Dept: 9923	
25/05/20		30/05/20		06/06/20	25/04/19	02/05/19	24/05/19					
1*(124,64euros+80 euros)	Arrival Riga-Baku 19/05/2019 at 03:35 Departure Baku-Riga 25/05/2019 at 05:00	5 days*350 Euros	1,5*(5days*350 Euros)	1*6days*293Euros	Airticket [RIGA - BAKU - RIGA] , eco class 1X(551,20 Euros)	VISA (1*22,11 Euros)	Taxi (2*25AZN)	Arrival Strasbourg-Baku the 02/06/2019 at 20:25 Departure Baku-strasbourg the 08/06/2019 at 04:30	5 days*250Euros	1,5*(5days*250 Euros)	1*6days*293Euros	
-							50az (
							0,52757					
-		1 750,00 €	2 625,00 €	1 758,00 €	551,20 €	22,11€	26,38 €		1 250,00 €	1 875,00 €	1 758,00 €	
		1 750,00 €	2 625,00 €	1 758,00 €	700,00 €				1 250,00 €	1 875,00 €	1 758,00 €	
=	_		_							_		

										179,16 •			:
		_			_				_		_		
	1 750,00 €	1 875,00 €	1 758,00 €	700,00 €			1 750,00 €	1 875,00 €	1 758,00 €	700,00 €			
	1 250,00 €	1 875,00 €	1 758,00 €	596,75 €	21,08 €		1 250,00 €	1 875,00 €	1 604,17 €	700,00€			
_		_	_		24us 0,87827 d		_	_		_	24us d	35az n	25az n
ArrivalStrasbourg-Baku 07/04/2019 at 20:25 Departure Baku-Strasbourg 13/04/2019 at 04:30	5 days*250 Euros	1,5*(5days*250 Euros)	1*6days*293Euros	Airticket [STRASBOURG - BAKU - STRASBOURG] , eco class 1X(592,79+3,96 Euros)		Arrival Strasbourg-Baku 19/05/2019 at 21:05 Departure Baku-Strasbourg 25/05/2019 at 04:55	5 days*250 Euros	1,5*(5days*250 Euros)	1*6days*293Euros- 153,83€	Airticket [Frankfurt-Baku - Frankfurt], eco class 1X(621,79 Euros)		Invoice Taxi N°046630 (36)	Invoice AUSTIN Hotel N° 27807 29
	28/04/20			14/03/20 19 05/03/20 19 05/03/20	13/03/19	_	30/06/20		05/03/20 19	13/03/19	07/03/19	19/05/19	24/05/19
	Payroll from CIEP		Invoice Hotel the landmark	Invoice JANCARTHI ER F- 0010895339 F- 0010891794	EVisa AE11012281 47		Payroll from CIEP		Time sheet	Invoice Mytrip.com AEI-B- 7522782	EVisa AE10111121 2	Taxi	Taxi
	08-12/04/2019	08-12/04/2019	07-12/04/2019	07-13/04/2019			20-25/05/2019	20-25/05/2019	19-24/05/2019	19-25/05/2019			
Philippe Turek (fr) Paris-Bakou	Fees -STE Cat II	Project Management Cost	Perdiem	International travel		Eckart Hoetzel (fr) Strasbourg-Bakou	Fees -STE Cat II	Project Management Cost	Perdiem	International travel			

	Nora Skrabuskeine (L.T) Riga -Bakou	Fees -STE Cat II 29/04-03/05/2019	Project Management 29/04-03/05/2019 Cost	Perdiem 28/04-03/05/2019	International travel 28/04-04/05/2019		Total 3,4	
booking n° 13636679	_	019 Payroll FR 2019	019	019 Time sheet	19 Invoice ZIP Travel n°0022627	EVisa AE10130970 8		= ,
19		15/05/20		26/04/20	04/04/19	10/04/19	_	
(1*16,15 Euros)	Arrival Vilnius-Baku the 28/04/2019 at 14:05 Departure Baku-Vilnius the 04/05/2019 at 05:00	5 days*350 Euros	1,5*(5days*350 Euros)	1*6days*293Euros	Airticket [VILNIUS - BAKU - VILNIUS] , eco class 1X(652,77 Euros)	VISA (1*24USD)		
-	_		_	_		24us 0,89142		-
<u>,</u>	_	1 750,00 €	2 625,00 €	1758,00 €	652,77 €	21,39 €	13 648,55 €	
.,,		1 750,00 €	2 625,00 €	1 758,00 €	700,00 €	10	13 666,00 €	
<u>.</u>	_							1,

117,79		296,95	296,95 €										_
			<u></u>		on Area	_				_	_	_	
700,00 €		24 582,00 €	43 581,00 €	les	in Higher Educatio		700,00 €		1 750,00 €	2 625,00 €	1 758,00 €	700,00 €	
700.00 €		23 245,69 €	40 769,53 €	f study programm	ce in the Europea		18,08 €		1 750,00 €	2 625,00 €	1 758,00 €	652,77 €	21,39 €
				teredness c	llity Assurar		0,51663						0,89686
_				dent-cen	s for 2ua		35,0 0 €						24az n
Airicket [STRASBOURG - BAKU - STRASBOURG], eco class 1X(817,79Euros)	VISA (1*24USD)			system is further developed to reflect the student-centeredness of study programmes	Develop standards for programme accreditation in line with the European Standards and Guidelines for 2uality Assurance in the European Higher Education Area	Arrival Riga-Baku the 10/03/2019at 04:50 Departure Baku-Riga the 16/03/2019 at 05:50	Taxi (1*35azn)	Arrival Riga-Baku 28/04/2019 at14:05 Departure Baku-Riga 04/05/2019 at 05:00	5 days*350 Euros	1,5*(5days*350 Euros)	1*6days*293Euros	Airticket [VILNIUS - BAKU - VILNIUS], eco class 1X(652,77 Euros)	VISA (1°24 USD)
22/05/19				assurance sys	ation in line wi		10/03/19		15/05/20		26/04/20	04/04/19	04/04/19
Invoice JANCARTHI ER F- F-	EVisa			Result 3: The quality assurance	tramme accredita		Taxi Invoice Hotel SQUARE INN		Payroll FR 2019		Time sheet	Invoice Zip Travel 0022628	EVisa AE10111121 2
02-08/06/2019				Resu	slop standards for prog		10-16/03/2019		29/04-02/05/2019	29/04-02/05/2019	28/04-02/05/2019	28/04-04/05/2019	
International travel		Total 2,1	Subtotal Component 2		Deve	Asnate. Kazoka (LV)	International travel	J.Butkeine (LV) Riga -Bakou	Fees -STE Cat II	Project Management Cost	Perdiem	International travel	

	_						
	1 750,00 €	2 625,00 €	1 758,00 €	700,00 €			1 750,00 €
_	1 750,00 €	2 625,00 €	1 758,00 €	540,97 €	74,44 €		1 750,00 €
					0,89686		
					83\$		
Arrival Vilnius-Baku the 16/06/2019 at 14:00 Departure Baku-Vilnius the 22/06/2019 at 05:00	5 days*350Euros	1,5*(5days*350 Euros)	1*6 days*293Euros	Airticket [VILNIUS - BAKU - VILNIUS], eco class 1X(540,97Euros)	VISA 1*83USD	Arrival Vilnius-Baku the 16/06/2019 at 14:00 Departure Baku-Vilnius the 22/06/2019 at 05:00	5 days*350Euros
	05/07/20		18/06/20	27/05/19	28/05/19		05/07/20
	Payroll FR 2019		Time sheet	Invoice Zip Travel N° 0023121	EA10143226		Payroll FR 2019
	17-21/06/2019	17-21/06/2019	16-21/06/2019	16-22/06/2019			17-21/06/2019
Jolita Butkiene (LT) Vilnius -Bakou	Fees -STE Cat I	Project Management Cost	Perdiem	International travel		Nora Skrabuskeine (LT) Vilnius -Bakou	Fees -STE Cat I

	!		- **		51,77		
					_		
	_		_		_		-
		1 750,00 €	2 625,00 €	1 758,00 €	200,00€	-	
area		1 750,00 €	2 625,00 €	1 758,00 €	1 700,00 €		_
ne in a priority a							
orogramn	 		_				
Pilot universities undertake a self-evaluation of a study programme in a priority area	Arrival Riga-Baku the 16/06/2019 at 05:35 Departure Baku-Riga the22/06/2019 at 08:35	5 days*350 Euros	1,5*(5days*350 Euros)	1*6 days*293 Euros	Airticket [RIGA- BAKU - RIGA] , eco class 1X(679,80 Euros)	VISA (1*21,97Euros)	Taxi 1*50Euros
universities u		28/06/20 19		03/07/20	30/05/20	11/06/20	16- 22/06/20 19
Pilot		Payroll n-6,1	_	Invoice airbnb	Invoice Baltatur N°532	EA10147673	Invoice Owl tourism & study
	-	17-21/06/2019	17-21/06/2019	16-21/06/2019	16-22/06/2019		
	Jolanta Silka (LV)	Fees -STE Cat I	Project Management Cost	Perdiem	International travel		

						51,77 €	
	_	_	_		_		
4 941,00 €	5 697,00 €	540,00 €	700,00 €	1 200.00 €	18 678,00 €	52 843,00 €	
4 941,00 €	5 697,00 €	540,00 €	631,37 E	€0,00 €	15 469,60 €	49 432,53 €	
_		_			_		c for recognition
9 persons x3days x183 Euros	9 persons*3days*211 Euros	9 persons*6 days *10 Euros	1*631,37 Euros	1*60 Euros			1.3. Review the legal and regulatory framework for recognition
26/05/19			24/04/19	27/05/19			Activity 4.3
aa2019-052			Delta Interservis Invoice N°163051	Invoice Transporta Pakalpojumi 12751/5- 2019			
26/05-01/06/2019		26/05-01/06/2019	26/05-01/06/2019	27/05/2019			
Per Diems LT	Per Diems LV	Incidental Costs	International travel for interpreter/ RTA- A (Inc Visa Costs)	Local Travel (Intercity Travel - not covered by per diem)	Total Act. 3.8	Sub-total Component 3	

			_	51,77	nuania (7	_	_
_					via and Litt		
2 625,00 €	1 758,00 €	700,00 €		20 499,00 €	/Study visit to Lat	e 600,00 €	0,00 €
2 625,00 €	1 758,00 €	540,97 €	59,00 €	20 314,38 €	mum of 7 persons	3 600,23 €	0,00 €
					ea for a mini		
					tion Ar visit)		
1,5*(5days*350 Euros)	1*6 days*293 Euros	Airticket [VILNIUS - BAKU - VILNIUS], eco class 1X(540,97 Euros)	VISA 1*59 Euros		assurance in the European Higher Education Area for a minimum of 7 persons /Study visit to Latvia and Lithuania (7 persons study visit to Latvia and Lithuania (7 persons + interpreter: 6 nights for each visit)	1*(428,37+428,37+453,64+449,37+449 ,37+449,37+449,37+492,37)	5 persons x 35Euros
	18/06/20	27/05/19	20/05/19		temal quality	23/04/20 19 03/05/20 19	
	Time sheet	Invoice ZIP Travel No 0023122	EVisa AE10141040 9		st practise for ex	Invoice Baltatur 396,397,398, 399,400,401, 402, 435	Evisa
17-21/06/2019	16-21/06/2019	16-22/06/2019			Activity 3.8. Organize study visit on best practise for external quality	26/05-01/06/2019	26/05-01/06/2019
Project Management Cost	Perdiem	International travel		Total 3,5	Activity 3.8. Org	Travel costs and visa for BC participants (Azerbaijan-Lithuania/Latvia-Azerbaijan)	

	_	44,92					
		_			_	-	
1 875,00 €	1 758,00 €	700,00 €			1 250,00 €	1 875,00 €	1 758,00 €
1 875,00 €	1758,00 €	700,00 €			1 250,00 €	1 875,00 €	1758.00 €
			98968'0	_			
_			24U SD				
1,5*(5days*250 Euros)	1*6 days*293Euros	Airticket [VILNIUS- BAKU - VILNIUS], eco class 1X(663,53+60Euros)	VISA 1X(24USD)	Arrival Vilnius-Baku the 24/06/2019at 01:20 Departure Baku-Vilnius the 29/06/2019 at 04:55	5 days*250 Euros	1,5*(5days*250 Euros)	1°6 days*293Euros
	19	08/04/ 12/05/20 19	29/04/19		05/07/20 19		19 19
	Time sheet	Invoice Zip Travel No Ticket Bagage	AE10135693 6		Payroll FR 2019		Time sheet
13-17/05/2019	12-17/05/2019	12-18/05/2019			24-28/06/2019	24-28/06/2019	24-28/06/2019
Project Management Cost	Perdiem	International travel		Leonas Blasevicius (LT) Viinius -Baku	Fees -STE Cat I	Project Management Cost	Perdiem

						_	
_			_				_
_	1250,00€	1 875,00 €	1 758,00 €	¥00'00 €			1 250,00 €
	1 250,00 €	1 875,00 €	1758,00 €	540,05 €] 21,08 €		1 250,00 €
_					0,87827		
					24us d		
Arrival Lyon-Baku the 12/05/2019at 21:05 Departure Baku-Paris the 18/05/2019 at 06:30	5 days*250 Euros	1,5*(5days*250 Euros)	1*6 days*293 Euros	Airticket [LYON - BAKU - PARIS] , eco class 1X(540,05 Euros)	VISA 1*24 USD	Arrival Vilnius-Baku the 12/05/2019at 21:05 Departure Baku-Vilnius the 18/05/2019 at 04:55	5 days*250 Euros
				18/04/19	07/03/19		30/05/20
	Payroll from CIEP		Dept: 9848	Invoice Jancarthier F001090676 9	Evisa		Payroll FR 2019
	13-17/05/2019	13-17/05/2019	12-17/05/2019	12-18/05/2019			13-17/05/2019
Jean-Luc Lamboley Paris-Bakou	Fees -STE Cat I	Project Management Cost	Perdiem	International travel		Kristina Sutkute (LT) Viinius-Baku	Fees -STE Cat I

		2311			
			44,92 €	44,92 €	1 371,54 €
_				9 00'0	0,00 €
700,00 €			16 749,00 €	16 749,00 €	177 230,50 €
478,58 €	21,44 €	21,04 €	16 431,19 €	16 431,19 €	169 164,33 E
	8935	52559			
	\$24, 00	40az n			
Airticket [VILNIUS- BAKU -VILNIUS], eco class 1X(478,58Euros)	VISA 1X(24USD)	Taxi 1*40AZN			
06/06/19	12/06/19	24/06/19			
Invoice Zip Travel No 0023238	Evisa AE10148653 2	Airport service N* 457			
23-29/06/2019					
International travel			Total Activity 4.3	Sub-total Component 4	Total Ser quarterly report

Section 4: Annexes (Mission reports)

1) Mission Report of Activity 1.6

(2011). Classification of Bachelor study programmes.

(2019). Classification of Bachelor study programmes.

(2011). Classification of Master level specialities.

BA 2011 vs 2019 lvl 1.xlsx

BA 2011 vs 2019 lvl 2.xlsx

BA 2011 vs 2019 lvl 3.xlsx

BA 2011.xlsx

BA 2019.xlsx

MA 2011.xlsx

BA vs MA (2011) lvl 1.xlsx

BA vs MA (2011) lvl 2.xlsx

presentation april 23.pptx

presentation april 26.pptx

2) Mission Report of Activity 3.4.2

- 1. Most important points for self-evaluation report
- 2. Three examples of self-evaluation reports
- 3. Lists of participants from the round tables held at pilot universities

3) Mission Report of Activity 4.3

First three annexes are included into the main text of the report.

Annex IV: Lists of workshop participants

4) Mission Report of Activity 2.4.2

Annex I: Amended State Standard of Foreign Language Teacher

Annex II: Lists of participants

5) Mission Report of Activity 3.8

No annexes are added to this (study visit) report.

6) Mission Report of Activity 2.4.3

Annex I Recommendations for the State Standard of Computer Science Annex II List of participants from the meeting held with employers

7) Mission Report of Activity 1.7

- Annex 1. Presentation of the B. Sc. and M. Sc. in Physics curricula offered at Unistra.
- Annex 2. Revised version of the survey to be launched by faculty staffs.
- Annex 3. National nomenclature of B. Sc. and M. Sc. in Physics (France).
- Annex 4. Revised draft of the National Standards for B. Sc. and M. Sc. in Physics.

8) Mission report of Activity 3.5

Annex I Genaral remarks for self-evaluation reports

Annex II Self-evaluation reports with remarks from experts

9) Mission report of Activity 2.1

Annexes are included into the main text of the report.

10) Mission report of Activity 4.3

Annexes are included into the main text of the report.